

Book 1.

THE HIDDEN FACE OF POLITICS

“Even though the idea that any EXPERT in conditioning techniques may set out deliberately to shape [child] behaviour to conform to some individually pre-conceived particular pattern is repugnant to all thinking Americans, this in no manner dissuades the zealots dedicated to the cause of reshaping human behaviour. M. Royer, M.S.

“A healthy human mind will neither fear to face the truth nor love seduction’s lies! Those who cannot face consequences will find they face responsibilities.”

Copyright @ A, Gourley. 2008 Note: Although there are no restrictions on quotation or publication in total (changes must have written authority there is a moral responsibility to quote accurately and to inform your audience of the source of material quoted. This, be assured is in your interests.

**www.gniebxbeing.org.uk
A non-profit enterprise**

Contents:

Part 1.

Foreword: – Eighteen steps:

Step.	Page.	Title.
1.	4	Battle for our right to life
2.	7	Belief Manipulation
3.	10	Experts pack deceit in a box
4.	13	Knowledge is power

Part 2.

5.	16	Police State democracies
6.	19	Hegelian Dialectic
7.	21	Advertising damages logic
8.	24	Back to the crossroads
9.	27	As ye sow so shall ye reap

Part 3. Background Briefing.

10.	29	The Dilemma of Democracy
11.	33	Philosophy-Ideology-Religion
12.	35	The Child Seducers
13.	39	Dig: The truth is available

Part 4

14.	42	A Tale of two cities
15.	45	What have we come to
16.	47	The war of all against all
17.	52	The Humanist consequence
18.	54	Who will speak?

Foreword:

A healthy human mind will neither fear to face the truth nor love seduction's lies: a stage of human development still rarely achieved. It seems that development to true humanity does need extremes of challenge and entering the very dangerous times looming throughout our world we will face increasing mental and physical torments that will severely test attitudes and behaviour.

More than ever before, we need to put desire for truth before convenient attitudes and adolescent ideologies.

Ideologies, such as humanism, attempt to lead us back to slavery by seduction to the primitive animalist religious behaviour that has been a continual challenge to human development. We must set aside primitive desires irrespective of philosophy and get on with searching for understanding of our humanity, our political manipulation and ourselves.

Slavery was banned in England before the fight to free slaves in the other parts of the world, but that banning was related to the physical form of slavery; no account was ever taken of mind slavery. Jesus of Nazareth alone had the understanding to mention it, but we, the people, were then not sufficiently advanced to appreciate it.

As you will see, slavery of mind is far worse than slavery of body. Taking control of a human mind so that it will work and willingly sacrifice itself to the agenda of a control-freak philosophy is the worst possible atrocity. It is worse than physical enslavement because the mind does not know it is misled and, without external help, is denied freedom to seek its true self.

Has it really taken two thousand years of human development for us to accept the simple logic of enslavement by deceit?

Well perhaps it hasn't taken so long for us to be able to accept it, but if not, then how much longer will we suffer it before we face-up to it? How much longer before we admit and free ourselves of humanity's worst atrocity? Will pride/ego force us to leave it too late? Can we no longer realize that enslavement of mind is next to death?

We accepted this form of slavery in our primitive stage because our choices were

then visible and limited and we needed unity for survival. The loss of a social unit in past ages did not spell disaster for humanity. Our situation today is very different; our leaders (not in our service) are bound to world government.

What we now have is a New World Order; as you will see, it is now to the great benefit of this that some 90% of world population be deleted. It is not just that Globalism plans it; "genocide of the manipulated" is begun. Already millions of peoples (many races and cultures) are led through mind slavery to death by inducement to unhealthy lifestyles, childish behaviour, warfare, starvation (foods deprived of nutrients), lack of warning of natural events and "politically aided diseases".

Why do we close our eyes to realities such as that exporting our industries to cultures of enemy philosophy did not come about as a result of these loving us so much that they happily work for a subsistence wage to keep us in luxury?

Is it by chance that 'our' governments set laws in pretence of defending the nutrition and purity of our foods then "encourage" sub-standard imports (produced under unregulated conditions in competing cultures) to under-price our products?

Why do such situations trigger no alarms?

Dr. W.C. Douglass reports (after resulting deaths) as follows, quote: *"Although approximately 80% of the active pharmaceutical ingredients used in our prescription drugs are imported ... valued at more than 42 billion in 2006 ... millions of shipments are imported [USA] ... have never been inspected by the FDA and, with current appropriations, never will be."* E.Q.

Why is this? Well it appears the FDA is neither interested nor sufficiently funded to insure that imports pass standards set in the USA; and it appears the same applies to all 'western' nations.

However, as you will see in Book 2 of this series, what the good Dr. has missed is that international agreements forbid that we reject imports not up to our standards. So prepare for the fact that much of what is revealed in these books is incredible to many and would be beyond reason if not also fully explained.

To control the mind of the commonalty so as to lead it to its own destruction is not unknown and those who work for the Globalist NWO do so with pride in their ignorance and full of arrogant belief in their 'control-freak' philosophy. How did this subversive culture gain power? First we have to face that world ownership was always the greatest prize available to arrogant leaders – those without feelings for truth, compassion or justice – people who believe in survival of the fittest!

After that we have mainly to thank our own gullibility. Hear the prophetic words of Professor Hayek:

The Road to Serfdom; Quote: *" many who think themselves infinitely superior to the aberrations of Nazism and sincerely hate all its manifestations, work at the same time for ideals whose realization would lead straight to that abhorred tyranny".* E.Q.

Greed and pride has been with us since the beginning and we have ample evidence of long-standing behind scenes manipulation; however it is only within the last hundred years that such forces have gained the influence of mass prosperity for seduction

and over the last fifty years the technology to dominate.

For world government takeover to succeed it had first:

- A/ To gain a secure control of the financial system.
- B/ To gain a secure control of national governments.
- C/ To gain a plausible philosophy to indoctrinate the commonalty; or, to quote ancient lines, install: “.. *an outer worship, effective but imperfect, for the profane.*” This last is the critical step; let's not fear to face this challenge!

Don't be fooled that Globalism is about money. We all do things that we should be, and often are, ashamed of; we find it easy to excuse bad behaviour as being JUST greed for wealth, pleasure or ego. But no, this iniquity is not just about ego, money, or grandiose dreams – it is about power of death – as in not alive any more.

So let us see the ego culture plans clearly! See that what is happening worldwide can only happen with authority of the hidden face of politics – that completion of this plan would mean the death of common humanity.

H.G. Wells; quote: *"New and stirring things are belittled because, if they are not belittled the humiliating question arises, "Why then are you not involved?"*

But do not panic, knowledge is empowering and emotion is deceiving! We can escape; all we need do is make decisions on logic rather than on emotion!

The following painful opening, will (in five) books be supported by quotes from the best brains of human history.

Part 1:

The Hidden Face of Government

Step 1:

The battle for our Right to Life

For so long I have watched this battle for humanity being lost; it has taken my lifetime to uncover the intrigue that explains it.

Fighting for human rights and freedoms before I knew there was a battle to be fought, or a world to save, I feel apologetic that it has taken so long to find sufficient evidence to help those serious about understanding this war. Still, had I sought help from ‘authorities’ or not started from scratch, I would never have reached this point.

Make no mistake, life may be a never-ending story but we do have ability to learn and make progress. Our problem now is that in our times we have created problems that can destroy us, problems that do not leave us a lot more time to solve.

So let's continue to seek reason for why we have been losing this battle: how the thieving of human rights and freedoms were hidden and how, near unopposed, this disaster gains more pace as each year passes.

For instance:

a/ Why we are so often told (every news session and more) that European cultures have democracy? If we truly had it, why would it need constant propaganda of reinforcement?

b/ Why are there are so many religions claiming the eternal God and claiming to be the true expression of this God but so few interested in scientific appraisal of what life

is about? The Christian Bible says God is Truth so why do Christian religions now lack faith to follow?

We, in European cultures, need to admit the plain evidence that we do NOT, in fact, live in democracies and ask why we are content to ignore our legal rights while, like hypnotized bunnies, we accept cultural genocide?

We also need to realize that no capable, honest scientist can find any scientific evidence for a more logical idea of origins than is the concept of a creator God! Or any greater scientific evidence about the creation plan than is given by intelligent reading of ancient writings – information that, logically assessed, helps understanding of culture and direction.

We need also ask why the religion of evolution is perpetually proclaimed as scientific while having no status even as scientific theory?

The infinite cannot be explained by science or logic and no science offers any theory for the creation of life other than that creation of order is only possible by intelligent planning.

The Assault on Childhood

We need to think seriously about why, with technology in great advance, there is now decay rather than advance of social intellect and behaviour! Or, if government and science is honest, why we have lost all ability to see consequences of corruption?

Why has 'new' social education produced degeneration and disaster? Why are the majority of parents reduced to despair after following modern advice on the raising of their children?

Why is it that a ten-year-old educated today would be considered “backward” in maturity if compared to the same sixty years ago? Why are homes now of increasing danger to children? Why is increase in prosperity accompanied by increase in greed, avarice and violence? WHY, especially, do we allow children to be targeted for change of values that adults would not accept?

We need ask ourselves why compulsory education adopts less efficient ways of teaching basics and spends more effort on teaching junk? Why peer-group formation was encouraged to divorce children from the love, experience and wisdom of past generations?

Why drugs became available along with massive publicity about drug use and why the war against drugs encouraged addiction? Why mothers must work to send their children to kindergartens to learn that parents are out of date in their attitudes? Why ignorant children are told they can make intelligent decisions for themselves when science, history, logic and common sense all deny this?

Why our culture fails to explain that combining logic with truth is the base for all understanding – or *that truth is the reality of the order in which we exist?* Why every media incessantly promotes our animal instincts in association with undermining human development?

All the above, and more, reveal an integrated design to remove children from the influence of parents and divorce them from human intellectual progress. Mind manipulation is so self-evident to logical search that it needs no formal proof and is well known as a way of destroying culture.

To solve crime first ask – “Who Benefits?” When cultural forces combine subversively this exposes planning and the beneficiary is a corrupt governing elite.

We really do need to take stock!

We need to think seriously to understand why it is that most of us are now willing to accept, without concern, the most deadly deceptions ever to challenge the intellect and moral resources of humanity.

Why are the most elementary steps not taken to make law = justice and why is it that childishly inefficient, undemocratic government, is allowed praise (and presented as if by public acclaim) as world’s best practice.

Writers of our national constitutions would not have been blind to this deceit nor have accepted it: why now do we submit, dumbly, to cultural genocide?

We are losing this battle?

Why are we, with overwhelming numerical advantage and with access to more evidence than we need, losing this battle for our health, our wealth, our wisdom, our sanity and even our humanity?

The answer is mind manipulation; this even turns us from the old wisdom that says: "United we stand, divided we fall."

Ideological divisions, religious divisions, political divisions or cultural divisions; they make us weak and we grow weaker. By a myriad of small changes we are led, gradually, to sickness and death.

Mind manipulation, through media and education, has made it so difficult for us to see the big picture – a worldwide program, soundly logical, to take over the earth.

It is mind manipulation that blinds us to the simple reality that ‘Big Brother’ is not devoted to the care of those who do not care enough to care for themselves.

Ownership of earth is an historic ambition! We ignore clear evidence! Now over-population excuses this power grab and excuses deletion of a population mindlessly wasting natural resources. Globalists are not in human service and their strength is that the public does not recognize them.

An international crime syndicate with infinite stolen wealth, by corrupt power, now rules earth!

If we DO look with honest intent then we CAN understand that this power wants us morally blind, money poor and socially ignorant; that it has criminally gained financial strength to gradually increase our deception, multiply divisions, strengthen our distractions and plan our disposal.

Honesty tells us the globalist forces have agents working constantly to spread false ideas, encourage our pride, and flatter our determination wherever our power can be turned against us!

We CAN understand why Globalist abuse of power is promoted as “about money” – almost everyone has greed for money so that doesn't frighten us!

We harm our own health and the health of friends and children so, if told an international industry sells us sickness to make money we accept it; money is king.

So, given war to gain ‘cheap oil’, ‘save freedom’ or spread (fake) ‘democracy’: we don’t complain, the immorality of it is ignored if it seems to our benefit.

People Shapers know that if they suggest political atrocities are ‘mother love’ activities for our good, then we will avoid questions and thoughts. We will not say: hey, why don't we develop alternative technology and live responsibly?

Globalism is about control – NOT money! They have to keep us poor, distracted, ignorant and increasingly helpless. That precisely is what should be obvious about Globalist planning.

We are told that faith can move mountains, but the scribe who noted this did not record WHAT we need faith in. However, if we use a little of the logic and intelligence we were given we see that what we need have faith in, is TRUTH. A little faith in truth of life does more than move mountains: it also defeats injustice and all who promote it.

Step 2:

Belief Manipulation

**The cancer we buy and love.
Every lying persuasion, cancer-like,
deforms the logical ability of the brain.**

Edward L. Bernays is credited as the original genius of Public Relations propaganda (P.R.). His work brought quick advance to the science of mind manipulation and manipulators, prideful, reveal new dimensions of their inhuman trade. We view his work in Book 2.

At first we feel our problems can be fixed by exposure of simple facts; that people would understand this trickery and, by knowing it the problem is fixed. But, as time passed it became clear that people could no longer believe, or feared to accept, the obvious.

Whistle-blowers need now look to a broad spectrum and avoid extremists who confuse all reform effort by falling for so many of the simple decoys set by the manipulators’.

A TV feature called “The Cutting Edge” advertises: *“Explores how the cultures of marketing and advertising have come to influence what US consumers buy”*. A confidence trickery to which commerce is encouraged to descend!

A Culture of Mind Invasion.

Looking for truth of public manipulation we find that the commercial work of People Shapers is camouflage for political control. It has proven that it can get an unknown candidate elected before one known and respected; create public support for war; infuse hate and promote (by use of Hegelian Dialectic principles) all aspects of the deadly humanist ideology now ruling our 'politically correct' governing.

That this power is directed to political use is not surprising. Is every person now fully slave to mind manipulation or is there hope? People will say some are always trying to persuade us to buy this or vote for that; it's a natural part of life; accept it?

Well, in cave-man days it didn't matter. Everyone had access to everyday knowledge; survival needed unity and opinions were honest expressions of experience.

Life is now changed, we no longer live a simple life and avarice is promoted by Public Relations. We recognize this easily in opposing cultures but not in our own.

Health, happiness, wealth and even your life, all are ‘fair game’ for these human predators. Let these get the power of

knowledge to manipulate you and they own you; few escape without help. Sabre-toothed tigers were loving by comparison.

Yes! In recent years children have been taught to believe that there is no social truth and each one is, by self-interest, the most important. "How do you feel about that?"

Feelings are paramount!

After indoctrination, we take pride in this promotion and see ourselves, individually AS the 'common good'. We no longer accept the old concept of common good because it has become just another name for "my good" and each thinks that anyone who sees 'common good' as different from the ego-made choice is stupid or 'politically incorrect'.

We are flattered by mind manipulating lies. *How do you feel about that?* A simple question made popular to reinforce the idea that our feelings, no matter how ignorantly based, are the vital reality.

We may be amazed that strangers instantly place us in a 'box' representing attitudes or beliefs, but mind manipulators assume and recognize 'forms of language'. Public Relations science has now refined analysis of words and attitudes for precise but 'inhuman' use.

\$millions are spent on collecting data about whole national populations; about ideas, preferences and human weaknesses; about response to deception and how words are understood and used. Word meanings are changed to add confusion between "peer groups". All, of course, computer graded and analysed. Control is not a 'mind-blowing' take-over, just a creeping paralysis of logic. No private information is protected from "People Shaping".

Communities are now studied to such detail that propaganda can be directed to wider groupings. A father hears what appeals to him, a son what appeals to him; each ignores the other and mixed meaning words attract the preferences of the other.

The result is that many now think they know Christianity but we don't know Christianity – think they know health but we don't know health – think they know freedom but we don't know freedom – think they know politics but we don't know politics – think they know education but we don't know education – think they know love but we don't know love.

If some awaken to see the fraud in any area – see the answer and try to expose it – they get little support before being ground down by the fact that their insight is not enough; that exposure of one community problem just makes them look silly to the great majority.

Rather than beg for support they should look for others exposing other problems and in unity expose enough of the corrupt scene to be credible.

Ideas about many subjects have become psychological ghosts in mind; they are inserted by manipulation and accepted because of confused logic. The cover may be uneven but all are influenced.

This explains why some spend lifetimes in efforts of reform while unable to see that ideas of Law, or Education, or God – of government or health or welfare or culture – compassion or justice, are to most, just dismembered fragments in mind acting like a force of hypnosis. It is escape proof because it is so big it is beyond our concepts and we see nothing to escape from.

The 'Party' trick!

Trained agents employ top PR to influence people to accept ideas or vote for 'party chosen' candidates.

"Party Systems" are open to insertion of agents and promotion by behind scene manipulators. Parties, like football teams, compete for the prize unknowing (or uncaring) that it is irrelevant which wins; citizens cannot see that their 'vote', when given to people chosen by the 'party system', serve only the manipulator.

In faked democracy we believe ourselves free. Though "constitutionally" the choice is still ours; we buy 'flattering' seductions because we love them. True democracy requires each electorate to choose and elect its own representative.

All rights are created, held, made public and protected by this act of community choice. When we fail this social duty, (allow others to choose our candidates) no legal right or moral law protects us.

Manipulators (international) feel free; having what they do called legal makes it O.K., but at best they are no better than thieves, confidence tricksters, or mass murderers. Law now serves law not justice!

In friendship's disguise they assault without concern or pity and, vampire-like, sip away human-logic.

They trick people into error to rob them of their humanity. THAT abuse is atrocity and we know it!

To save our pride we close our eyes! Modern propaganda causes communities to support, what in free conscience is contemptible. Webs of lies disable logic

and we willingly die for the lie! Those seeing a little of the growing inequity keep hitting their heads against brick walls until overcome by futility and exhaustion.

Activists cannot believe that only the big picture includes enough insight to broaden their campaign and expose the larger reality. We cannot make change because our loyalty is to our beliefs before democratic community!

Is it is now too late?

Do we still have courage to face knowledge and evidence? Can we still use our intelligence to save ourselves? Can activists admit they need of a larger picture and join forces to expose larger understanding?

It is vital we see that narrow-interest campaigns always have, and always will, fail against the general pride of indoctrinated belief; that success will come only when we show that overall community interests give advantage to all. We cannot motivate a majority unless we address majority problems!

Our brain was made for gain not for garbage. For salvation an awakening to the critical importance of cultural TRUTH is needed! This devotion to truth must become embedded within our psyche!

Greed and pride, in love of the lie, enslave the mind. With work, understanding and desire for truth we can save ourselves.

We need face that the battle is global. To see through the trickery, we need see that desire for truth will allow us knowledge to understand and think seriously. We need think to benefit all.

Step 3:**How Experts Packed Deceit in a Box to fool the world!**

They sold virtually nothing to almost everyone in exchange for practically everything.

In 1975, F E & M Emery (researching at the Australian National Uni.) showed how TV viewing turned people into zombies.

Brainwave-measuring equipment was used to measure the activity of various areas of the brain before, during and after watching TV, it showed the part of the brain that makes critical assessment and sorting of information “shuts down” during (and for a time after) TV viewing.

This exposes the great advantage of TV for disguising moral assault as respectable caring. It greatly magnifies the power to indoctrinate communities through entertainment. By dulling the brain’s natural defence mechanism it leaves it open to far more effective seduction. That these experiments were given so little public coverage was a damning indication that this facility was already in serious use.

Other research confirmed the obvious! A young child watching TV does not understand the story to learn language but sees the changing scene as a fascinating (perhaps mesmerizing) kaleidoscope.

Worse: over early years they lose the essential true-life experience that wires their brain to independent logic.

As result they become immature adults less able to guide their own children. Combine that with the following to understand the rapid increase in childish adult behaviour,

lawlessness and fawning dependence on government handouts.

This is a true war for survival and though saying so may well annoy those who accept, without question, the socialist values indoctrinated as humanism, it will help those who value their human liberty.

Most don’t yet see that we now live under control; don’t see their own growing lack of concern for right and wrong. Mass indoctrination leads to collapse of morality in business and public relationships along with collapse of caring and respect for others in what, in public, is incessantly promoted as a caring and mutually respectful culture. ‘Caring’ and ‘enjoy’ are catchwords of this Globalist deceit!

Pretend caring is used to justify or disguise all deceit from gradualism to the two-faced dialectic that promotes ‘consensus’ as a substitute for truth. So take a big breath: The following essay by W. N. Grigg, with insert by Dean Gotcher, states so well this vital understanding:

“TV or Not TV? Not!”

By William Norman Grigg

&

“The Hegelian Dialectic Process”

By Dean Gotcher.

“TV programming is designed to shepherd viewers into compromising their values. To preserve freedom, Americans should severely limit or entirely eliminate their TV viewing.

In the typical American home, the television set occupies the center of the living room, as if it were the household deity. People immersed in TV’s caricature of reality indulge in a delusional sense of intimacy

with people they do not know — and who usually don't exist — at the expense of relationships with the most consequential people in their lives: their parents, children and neighbors.

Passive consumers of television also submit to the powers of people skilled in the use of fantasy as a means of re-calibrating public attitudes and values, not just about family life and sexual morality, but also about any other moral question of consequence.

Of all the arts," commented founding Soviet dictator Vladimir Lenin, "cinema is the most important." Lenin's regime pioneered the use of cinematic propaganda as a means of building the total state, and Lenin's heirs from Hitler to Kim Jong-II have used his techniques. [Could we see more recent Prime Ministers and Presidents as fellow travellers?]

In his precautionary tale "1984" George Orwell anticipated the use of television as an instrument of totalitarian social engineering: Every hapless subject of Big Brother's regime was required to commune with the omnipresent "telescreen," ... [We do this by simple passive absorption.]

Most Americans would disagree that our society has much if anything in common with the dismal despotism portrayed by Orwell. But Russian anti-Communist Alexander Zinovyev, a world-renowned author who actually lived under such a regime, sees America descending into the same nightmare — in large measure because of the influence of our popular media. [Our media is now more advanced and techniques more subtle. We saw the propaganda in Soviet mass media but are blind to it in our own. Ed.]

"It is enough to switch on the TV set, to go to the movies, to open a best-seller, ... to listen to the ubiquitous music," complained Zinovyev in the July 24, 1999 issue of France's Le Figaro, "and you'll find them propagating the cult of sex, violence and money. Noble slogans about tolerance and respect for others are concealing those three pillars of totalitarian democracy."

The Devious Dialectic

To be most effective, the peddlers of cultural corruption must work in tandem with change agents who employ sophisticated tactics of psychological manipulation in the service of collectivism.

Dean Gotcher of the Institute for Authority Research has spent much of his life studying the ways in which change agents infiltrate and subvert communities in order to undermine established values.

According to Gotcher, one of the most successful ploys used by those cultural revolutionaries is the "dialectic process," in which diverse groups of people, in a facilitated environment, are led to submerge their disagreements through a series of compromises leading to a "consensus."

This can be carried out in discussion groups, classrooms, management seminars, or in many other settings.

The objective of the facilitator is to break down barriers and normal restrictions," observes Gotcher. "The facilitator (sometimes called the change agent) uses the 'consensus process' [to] stifle sensible protests. This actually results in 'induced paralysis' of the objectors". [Those who seek to defend conventional social mores and customs].

"Objectors to the process of 'consensus' are made to feel like they are 'rocking the boat,'" Gotcher continues. "Those who object to the changes are encouraged to alter their standards so that 'they can get along with others.' The reason given to get rid of normal standards often is the claim that others are being 'offended.'" Beguiled or brow-beaten into sacrificing principles for the sake of social amity, those being fed through the machinery of the "dialectic process" are carefully led through subtle but significant compromises intended ultimately "to remake human nature."

...

As Gotcher points out, dialecticians use.. "harmless" shared deceptions to lay a crucial predicate: The assumption that there are no absolutes, that reality itself is subjective, and that what really matters is conforming to the consensus, the will of the collective. Exercises of this sort, he warns, are being employed in corporate, professional, health care, educational & management training, as well as in "outcome-based" educational programs.

Whether promoted by organizations such as the NEA [National Education Association], the local Chamber of Commerce, the United Nations, [the] United Nations Education, Science, and Culture Organization (UNESCO), or through grant programs such as Goals 2000 and School to Work, this process is having a direct effect upon all our lives," observes Gotcher.

The purpose is to prepare the public mind for the onset of the total state, which would by definition be completely emancipated from all moral and legal restraints.

The process described by Gotcher works best in relatively small, intimate settings. But the public at large is also being run

through the "dialectic process" through the instrumentality of prime-time television.

Gotcher's example of a mythical "mama bull" has a real-life counterpart in the case of the so-called married couple that took the \$1 million prize in CBS television's Amazing Race. The couple in question, who won the round-the-world competition staged in the program, are two homosexual men. The decision to refer to them as "married" was approved at the network's loftiest corporate echelon. After this gesture provoked a smattering of criticism, a CBS executive rebuked the objectors: "They're gay and they're married. What's the problem?"

The problem, of course, is that men cannot be married to each other any more than a bull can be a mama. But just like the small group in the ice-breaker exercise described by Gotcher, the vast national audience for Amazing Race was required to be party to the collective falsehood of homosexual "marriage." And it's hardly a coincidence that this took place at a time when serious efforts were underway — in Vermont, California, Massachusetts and at the U.S. Supreme Court — to lay the groundwork for officially recognizing homosexual "marriage."

Assault on the Middle Class

Television emerged as a mass medium in the 1950s and quickly came to occupy a key role in an orchestrated campaign to eradicate conventional middle-class morals and culture.

*In his monumental 1966 study *Tragedy and Hope*, the late Georgetown University historian Carroll Quigley observed: "The period since 1950 has seen the beginnings of a revolutionary change in American*

politics. This change is not so closely related to changes in American economic life as it is to the transformation in social life.... What has been happening has been a disintegration [outlook reformat] of the middle class...."

While economic factors certainly played an important role in this disintegration, continued Quigley, the most important development was the destruction of "the middle-class outlook ... not by adult middle-class persons abandoning it, but by a failure or inability of parents to pass it on to their children."

This was partially due to radical changes in the American educational system undertaken for the purpose of using the schools to subvert middle-class morality.

But just as important was "the context within which the educational system has operated," noted Quigley — specifically, the popular culture and entertainment media.

The chief external factor in the destruction of the middle-class outlook has been the relentless attack upon it in literature and drama throughout most of the twentieth century," Quigley wrote.

In fact, it is difficult to find works that defended this outlook or even assumed it to be true, as was frequent in the nineteenth century."

The anti-middle-class outlook, which was rapidly absorbed by television, exalted "violence, sexual laxity, social irresponsibility and perversion ... human weakness in relation to alcohol, narcotics, or sex, or domestic and business relationships ..." End quote; emphasis added.

The above effectively highlights the deadly grip of mind manipulation on our lives today. If we refuse to admit it then we cannot escape it.

Step 4.

Knowledge is power

First a very revealing quotation from the world-known British authority, Bertrand Russell. Quote: *"Although this science will be diligently studied, it will be rightly confined to the governing class and the populace will not be allowed to know how its convictions were generated ... education should aim at destroying free will, ..."* End quote from *"The Impact of Science on Society"* 1976. Could that be openly said in a university setting were it not already in control?

So let's Honestly look at Our World?

Speaking of some plan a person may say: *"This is good a idea but costly; but you have to do your best don't you?"* What does "doing your best mean"?

Right or Wrong! If we don't know the difference, 'doing our best' may produce results opposed to what we want, "Well how can we know?"

"How can we know" is important!

Knowledge is Power!

We go through life believing a little lie here and a little lie there, not important. We choose by emotion rather than try to find the truth; most now live by the emotion-pleasing humanist philosophy.

Humanism is the religious ideology of socialism; it preaches that social truth does not exist but, for everyone, there are times when truth is very important! Is "Well how

can we know?" going to be our best response?

Our world is becoming less rational and more violent. That is a tide coming in. Creation rests on a base of principles! All of creation is interrelated! The more we love truth the more earnestly we seek it; the more sincerely we look the better we know it and turn the right way. Turning the right way has never been more important. Let's take a quick look at culture in light of today's reality.

Suppose we go to a foreign country where language is so like our own that we communicate easily and, as it is a foreign country, it is easier to look honestly at their way of life. There you find the culture is making the super-rich richer and the poor poorer. You also see that entertainment is sex and pleasure driven.

The young are so stimulated that they often reach sexual maturity before teenage, but law restricts marriage before eighteen and bans children from sex before sixteen (Strange order!). Constant exposure to stimulation and peer pressure of seduction forces promiscuity, but the age of legality is ignored unless one partner is adult.

This makes the culture suspicious of all "adult child" friendships; but that can't be about protecting the young? You wonder at this because it is long known that sex is a major human bonding agent; it creates bonding to keep partners together and so provide the secure environment needed by the family.

Promote promiscuity among the young and the bonding power is transferred from the security of the family to the excitement of experiment.

Deformed bonding leaves children with poor discipline in a world they don't understand; instinctively they feel deserted.

The human psyche has been twisted gruesomely and parents think it natural because it has been grown in their culture over generations.

You wonder who owns this government?

The people look healthy and prosperous but often stressed or worried: road rage appearing, young roaming wild, often on drugs! Crime, violence, drugs and rape seem accepted as normal.

Many are horrified but child molestation blooms because children are depicted as sex objects and this culture sees that as natural. Community anger is directed against consequence not cause. Why would government promote this extreme of child abuse and cultural breakdown?

You then see the young are encouraged to believe they are just common animals and increasingly behave that way. With failing parental authority and animal instincts promoted, why wouldn't they grow to accept constantly repeated media challenge to excitements ranging from sex and drugs to murder? Youth rebellion, at heavy social cost, reflects irrational cultural values.

Those vital years of human development, from birth to seven – years where language foundations, reasoning and adaptation to life's basics are set, are now lost to TV; pleasure ignores rational behaviour.

Developing minds, pressure-fed misleading images of life by politically correct attitudes, learn little more than peer-group basic language! Life is a game of 'make-believe': 'birthright' is entertainment.

Programs are created to fix problems but further undermine parents enticed to work to maintain artificial lifestyles in a manipulated economy! Children never fully recover 'lost' years and grow up to become gullible parents reliant on 'big brother' and frantic in defence of their peer-group attitudes.

'Peer-group' loyalties open the way to dialectic indoctrination by isolating victims from the wisdom of ages: manipulation is made super effective by "peer-groups" segregation. **Do parents no longer love their children enough to face up to it?**

We now see democracy here is pretence! The electoral system is rigged! Candidates for M.Ps are chosen by vested interests and promoted by Public Relations; few have ability so specialist advisers direct those elected.

Voters appear blind to simple realities! Most now grow up with entertainment replacing real-life experience.

Programs 'supposedly' designed to help the disadvantaged fail because the cause of social problems is hidden. The damage caused by unnatural nurture is never mentioned and when research exposes it, reporting ignores it. People demand expensive programs and mind-dulling medications and never see, or imagine, 'their' government deceives them.

Puzzled by these irrationalities you look at the classroom.

Children of the well-off middle class may be grouped selectively and parents with collective political power are happy to think the system works to their advantage. But they and their children are still subject to TV and less obvious media indoctrination.

Each generation is open to greater manipulation and parents in need think they are being helped. Culture, from child-care to business, is taught by "consensus dialectic" so truth becomes agreement between ignorance and inexperience.

Technology, though well taught, limits its social advantage by limiting discussion and setting reading. Culture is dogmatised as students struggle with the pressures of social junk. Intellectual maturity declines generation by generation.

The tourist sees intelligent people are now accepting their children being enticed to ideas and attitudes that increase rebellion, despondency, lawlessness, social abuses, drug addiction, violence, rape and contempt for parents. Parents are passive because, as children they were only a little less indoctrinated in this gradualism.

Indoctrinated contradictions cause frustrations and generate problems. Set in compartments, adults and children welcome 'correction' programs, rules and regulations. Gradualism succeeds because all are enticed to demand more of the deformities that caused their problems.

So our tourist sees people caught in a descending social spiral; confused by conflicting beliefs and distracted by sport, seductive entertainment and poverty of understanding.

Blinded by fake morality understanding was lost. The community let slip its political power as parents failed to notice the world changing from a collection of nations where people and leaders needed each other to a time when nations became a 'new-world order' and 'independent' meant danger to the naked 'Emperor' of Globalism.

Parents, hooked on early stages of this program did not want to see that if children, taught that social right and wrong do not exist and then told to adopt certain morals, will suffer mental conflicts; or, if told they should respect rights of others but made to believe self is the most important value in a meaningless world, will adopt self-serving attitudes with arrogant to violent responses. Care and kindness has no meaning in humanist philosophy.

Is this our culture?

If that tourist were you, would you care enough to see yourself? Would you, as a parent, be horrified at what you support?

Would you care that your money, controlled by people with no concern for you, has, like your philosophy, no value base? That your nation is robbed to bankruptcy; that national parks and wilderness areas are now given as security for borrowed 'fake' money on which you pay interest – that your work produces little if any human value and your social structure no longer has stability?

Part 2

Step 5:

Police State Democracies

"The Secret Patriot Act II Destroys What Is Left of American Liberty.

A Brief Analysis of the Domestic Security Enhancement Act 2003: Known as Patriot Act II By Alex Jones
<http://www.infowars.com/>

"Congressman Ron Paul told the Washington Times that no member of Congress was allowed to read the first Patriot Act that was passed by the House on

October 27, 2001. The first Patriot Act was universally decried by civil libertarians and Constitutional scholars from across the political spectrum.

William Safire, while writing for the New York Times, described the first Patriot Act's powers by saying that President Bush was seizing dictatorial control." End quote.

If you find the sordid reality of the extreme 'Patriot Acts' hard to believe let's realize we have already seen, legalized, oppression the equal of the most extreme of dictators. The oppression we claim to fear is introduced under excuse of defending our 'pretend' democracy and is not confined to the USA, it is common to the 'free' world.

Such legislation is not enforced when introduced; it is there so that if needed (as it will be) then authorities can claim entitlement of law there to protect us.

But here is what is really strange: "WHY is it that we are not told in the above article that the USA Constitution has been (for years) suspended?" President Roosevelt suspended it in 1933 and every President since renews that suspension yearly!

No excuse! So long as we, the people, accept dictatorship, why whine about it – we don't want to know about having our lives destroyed by Globalism? Again not unique to the USA! It could not happen in a nation alone because it would then be seen to happen. It has to be a creeping worldwide seduction, and if worldwide has to be organized world-wide.

A government may pass any law it can get away with only if complaint ignores that the 'free' world has already allowed governments full dictatorial power.

The Constitution of a true democracy cannot be secretly suspended! If we have any concern for liberty, culture or human future, then any suspension must have time-limit and public approved.

The USA suspension is inexcusable; it is unlimited and includes times of peace. If the general citizenry is NOT well aware of the suspension and the fact that they live under disguised dictatorship, then this involves brainwashing, and deceit as well as treason.

National Constitutions and Democracy

When our champions complain about political deceit and iniquity but do not highlight that National Constitutions are suspended or ignored, then the credibility of all protest is lost and outrage is pretended.

Mere protest against illegal government demonstrates our servility. Is action to save human freedoms being deliberately confused by poorly researched resistance?

Why do activists (world-wide) maintain old fixations and determine to not be confused by reality?

When we continually protest and whine to authorities it should be obvious that we are proclaiming our servility. The question earlier posed by H. G. Wells is worth considering; why are so few concerned? Were we not servile we would “choose & elect” our own representatives to govern openly in truth!

Example: What is called the Australian Constitution was just an agreement between the States to unite Federally. Each State already had a Constitution based on British law! This did not need repeating in a National Constitution subject, by design, to

State’s limitation of its powers. That this, by sedition, is now ignored does not make the change legal.

Nor were Commonwealth or States set-up to be governed by “Party Systems”! Party systems are popular because they pretend to serve group greed in disguise of general need. Australians were not so governed at their origins! Parents then had rights to discipline their children in practical ways! Most readily accepted communal responsibilities!

Those saying parliament cannot work without parties display uncaring levels of ignorance. In our pre-party years ‘independents’ would be ‘pushed’ by the establishment, but then this establishment was national. Although misled by lies we were not indoctrinated to futility! Given time people would have seen the need to choose their own people to represent them. Parties were introduced to prevent this!

Today ‘independents’ are usually self-chosen and push as reformers in support of personal ego. A parliament of these would be a battleground rather than a democratic service. But we do have Constitutional right to choose and elect our honest and experienced managers. Globalism’s humanist/socialist education and money controlled mass media, has indoctrinated deadly intellectual deformities over recent centuries to prevent this.

A political stand-over brought the government of the USA to heel in 1907. By 1910 World Government saw Australia was important enough to need be taken under control. The “International Bankers” did this in the 1920s. See Book 2. World events show all national governments are Globalist controlled.

No chapter or book tells the full story! To exercise the control to negate democracy Internationalists had to introduce “Party System” governments. In the USA this was achieved almost instantaneously with independence: in Australia it was delayed variously by States and Commonwealth.

To tighten control scientific cultural-indoctrination of ‘Humanist philosophy’ followed. After the first “Gulf War” the then secret ‘Globalism’ finally advanced to openly call itself “Globalism” and proclaim a New World Order. We can no longer say we don't know, only that we fear to look to the consequences. Specific chapters and quotes in later Books and literature add vital evidence to matters introduced here.

We must work to gain understanding of our situation – the Globalist goal and its means of achievement. We can only defeat this monstrosity by having the courage to accept our social responsibilities.

We need open our minds: “Party system” government can neither be open nor democratic; it needs vested interest control to survive! Public submission and ignorance is essential to it. Like a football match it is full of emotion, passion and mindless loyalty. Parties play to win, but obey rules set by rod of finance, careful seduction, selection and deceit. These ensure competing teams play within set rules.

As in the USA, Australian founding fathers assumed the everyday common sense of the majority. The Australian constitution even stated the democratic essential that people choose their own candidates – an obligation to ‘not just vote for any egotist who presents or for quislings chosen by and to serve, unknown powers.

Without behind-scenes control, ‘party systems’ are as self-destructively divisive as self-chosen independents. As candidates are chosen before election their first loyalty must be to the ‘party’ or authority that gives the ‘pride-driven candidate’ the backing to be a candidate. Few rebel, none look to see if what they do is constitutional.

A Parliament of representatives chosen by the electorate will represent the common good of the community that choose them. The entire parliament is dedicated to common service. No government today obeys a democratic constitution! No law now defends justice; law now defends law. Globalism suspends, revises or, re-interprets, to make democracy meaningless to its human need.

It is unrealistic to think that any form of constitution will be maintained unless a national population is both aware of its responsibilities and of the dangerous mind-manipulating power of political piracy. All who work for reform by change of law or constitution, rather than by community understanding of personal responsibilities, just aid the deceit.

Do we now fear to govern ourselves?

Many of us, despite the massive evidence, seem to find it too frightening to face the truth of what is going on in our world! Is it too frightening because we do not have the courage to take responsibility for our own lives? Or is it that our minds are now so crammed with selfish entertainments that we can no longer imagine that “community good” means best for everyone? Is all public protest now based on self-interested begging for handouts or favours?

By lies and handouts mind bars are raised! Once enslaved we lose normal self confi-

dence and feel we must have others to organize our lives; we cannot see consequences and like “Stockholm Syndrome” captives feel we owe our lives to our captors!

The fear that comes with enslavement to ideology is the result of deceit, confusion and indoctrination! Once the 'gods' get control we accept we need those with understanding and power; they MUST take care of us! We cannot sacrifice our ego to the common good because we fear to trust ourselves and 'need' our handouts.

With freedom of knowledge the entire culture changes; our values and needs clarify and simplify. Freedom of truth returns our vision of foresight. Morals and justice become meaningful. We can trust ourselves and no longer need the false comfort of dictators. Now governed by criminals we are still free to escape.

Step 6:

How Hegelian Dialectic turned Capitalism, through Socialism, into Communism?

A reader questions the word 'socialism' claiming, it means: “community owned production for community benefit”, what's wrong with that?

But we soon see that Communist takeovers leave surviving working classes 'equal' only as slaves to ruling elitists; we can then agree the socialist dream was never intended to go beyond plausible.

Perfect equality of effort and reward is for insects; humanity is intellectual and individual. Communism needed capitalist contrast until capitalism itself was able (by

indoctrination) to makeover the commonality mind. It is an elegant plot! Socialism is exposed in later books but let's do some groundwork.

Socialism.

“Social” deformed by addition of ‘ism’ or ‘ist’ means “something like”! In this case it supports and creates a religion, the religion of Humanism. It is created to promote egocentric, faith-based ideals in place of respect for truth.

Socialists, Fascists or Capitalists all enlist support by promoting artificial and idealized social beliefs to add religious zeal to indoctrination – to justify godlike ability to mould life in disregard of nature, reality and self-evident truth. Communism is, “a commune or hive of communists”.

“Humanism” promotes pretence of human ideals as a base for Communist, Capitalist or Fascist religious totalitarianism. In such process human must be deformed.

Shame of Nations” Book 4, shows these concoctions as all parts of a plan for global control. Capitalism is at the heart of this sophisticated “dialectic” whose end product is super capitalism = Globalism.

Radhakrishnan reveals the ancestry of this deceit in translating: *“Indian Philosophy”*:
Quote: *“One of the leading principles of the mystics was the sacredness and secrecy of self knowledge and the true knowledge of the gods. This wisdom was, they thought, unfit for, perhaps even dangerous to, the ordinary human mind; or in any case, liable to perversion and misuse, and loss of virtue if revealed to the vulgar and unpurified spirits. Hence they favored the existence of an outer worship, effective but imperfect, for the profane.”* End quote.

That is the ancient ideal behind the Socialist/Capitalist philosophy; both are change-agent stages, both motivate the masses during that time when government by plutocracy (as alternative to sham democracy or republic) could not be sold to the majority. As we see, the ancient elitism, with government by indoctrination of the slave class, is, quite precisely, what is being set up by Globalism today.

The difference today is that modern behavioural science is used to help disguise and achieve these aims. However modern science also exposes that they have no human right to do so.

That nations fall so easily to simple progressive mind takeover is even more surprising when we see how openly plans are revealed. Bertrand Russell exposed the way of modern education and Collins Dictionary gives the following explanation of socialism:

“3. (in Marxist theory) a transitional stage after the proletarian revolution in the development of a society from capitalism to communism characterized by the distribution of income according to work rather than need.” [Note: no pretence of human charity in this change agency. Ed.]

Ideologies fight each other like ‘parties’ or sports teams, they fight to win the game; but the reward goes to the promoter. Group leaders wallow in hope of victory and ignore the evidence of futility and treachery.

Lower ranks see their plans as ‘on target’ but pride denies them ability to see themselves as ‘part’ of the target. Set up to do the dirty work they do not see that those in power after the “killing fields” will not call themselves Capitalists or Communists. Globalists will party on as they watch their

Hegelian Dialectic work through *thesis* and *antithesis* to achieve their dream.

As mentioned earlier, the Hegelian Dialectic principle is now used very extensively and permeates segments of culture ranging from entertainment to education – from kindergarten to International Commerce.

Change agents don't explain their use of Hegelian Dialectic for mind manipulation of the masses or that its purpose is to confine the servant mass to slavery. In fact they probably have no idea what it is about.

Here another reminder from Quigley:

“Other themes woven into anti-bourgeois propaganda in literature and drama (including television) were “an oversimplified Freudianism that regarded all suppression of human impulse as leading to frustration and psychic distortions ... the salutary consequences of self-indulgence ... [and a] total rejection of middle-class values, including time, self-discipline, and material achievement, in favor of a cult of personal violence....” [Naked Humanism: “deletion of human” is its aim.]

The result, concluded Quigley, was “a total reversal of middle-class values by presenting as interesting or admirable simple negation of these values by aimless, shiftless, and totally irresponsible people.”

[Thus dedicated the workforce mind floats in insect-like dedication.]

Television is the single most effective means of shepherding the American population through the dialectic process. This is why Americans seriously interested in preserving their freedoms should severely

limit or entirely eliminate their TV viewing." End Quote.

Quigley an insider, had a very clear view of socialist people-shaping trickery. The no right, no wrong, trick is slyly but incessantly promoted (by suggestion and example) through all media.

The consequences of this are now tearing the social fabric of world cultures apart to create crime, drugs addiction, corruption, accidents, lack of foresight, contempt for parents, contempt for rights of others and terrorism. To this truth, clearly exposed, we close our eyes!

All concerned for the future of children, or with responsible concern for saving human intellectual freedoms, should not introduce children to T.V. at all under the age of seven years and very selectively for at least another ten.

The best use of TV is as entertainment in aged care homes. Mature adults, with decaying mentality will not be harmed but may be helped to relax.

TV trains the mind to see information as an entertainment to be savoured on the instant for excitement: it leaves no time for thought, involvement or consideration of consequences. You are set in a mould without any of your own conscious involvement.

Similarity between computer and TV screen is obvious; a sedated mind may see the two as equal.

As TV bonding normally comes first it is important that those looking for information to help them understand life and living, should convert to hard copy for study.

Step 7:

How advertising damages logical response!

Prison in Mind

Entering the 21st. century

Living as in penitentiary

Imprisoned by bars in mind

Knowing not our true kind

Now no time for despair

Bars melt, as we grow aware

A.G.

Advertising fellow-travels with corrupting entertainers and deceptive informants to further undermine mental health

"ADVERTISING DAMAGES MENTAL HEALTH", by: Paul Kalkbrenner. Essay, originally published in: Hamburg Chaos Communication Congress December 1997. Translated abridged from the German on <http://www.chscene.ch/ccc/congress/1997/004>.

Yes your Indoctrination IS International.

Munich psychoanalyst Franz Strunz shows that Sigmund Freud's thesis that infant's dreams are free from the intimidation of parents and society is no longer correct. The evidence is that though traditional "loving discipline" was supportive and comforting to children – today not so.

Strunz, in his investigations, demonstrated that "dreadful monsters, crimes and catastrophes bristled" in the dreams of his analysed children. But the development of T.V. was not the only difference between the children analysed by Freud and Strunz.

With TV came humanist childcare philosophy! Where parents combine these influences we find explained the frantic and rebellious children of our times.

How childhood develops!

Quotes (shortened for space): *"Language consciousness first develops at age 4, until then ... Language and logic are incomprehensible to the child ... the [younger] child understands what he sees on television before speaking. ... [in the way] illiterates [do]."*

The power of media pictures educates ... long before their parents and society can make clear linguistically and verbally what is wrong and right. This phenomenon only concerns our generations ... the logical understanding that "what is in the box" is virtual, like what is in the newspaper" comes late to all of us. ...

Jacques Sequeles from the Euro-RSCG agency formulated: "Advertising conquers everything, it has become the schoolmaster of our children... They sit glued or spellbound to our spots. Thus advertising becomes the schoolmaster for life."

[This did not come about by chance! No true human culture would inflict mind deformity on children! It would be as unthinkable as surgery on the brain to abort reasoning development; this is now achieved by deceit.]

"The power of pictures of visual communication is also unbroken in adult age. This power of virtual pictures is stronger than logical arguments. Even if you feel elevated above this, the tirade of advertising pictures is still drilled into you."

For that reason, all advertising works with pictures. Successful brands are word-pictures. Everyone knows the Coca Cola logo and the attitude toward life drummed into our heads by countless advertising spots and print campaigns. ... one who

knew and used the power of media pictures and corporate identity, quasi-the father of advertising, was Adolf Hitler. No trademark was as well known as the swastika. ...

There are no distinctions any more between the mechanisms of advertising, television and other media. They function everywhere in the same way with the same trick. Gradually the editorial part was confused with advertising.

On television, All-American series roll out the same "enticing" dream as advertising. All the game shows, tele-shopping shows and shows like "The Price is Right", ... make themselves advertising, or call people to consume by promising fantastic promotional gifts that change our life....

We have fallen under the rule of the television dictatorship that came [was placed] over us gently in an advertising manner and not forcefully. This makes the dictatorship especially dangerous. ...

They control the picture and thus the reality, the body and the mind, a convincing, subliminal dictatorship that is systematically guided by ratings experts.

This is the worst possible of dictatorships since no revolt is possible any more. This dictatorship needs no prisons or guards. Instead of bars and grating, there is the idiot box.

... the function of advertising is to lead consumers to their hidden expectations. By uncovering these expectations, advertising first creates the delight that is the true engine of our unbridled consumer society.

Without advertising, no consumer society could arise. Without advertising, our minds would be free to reflect about more

important things. [Like putting Global Warming in its place.]:
<http://www.mbtranslations.com/>
 Address: <http://www.commondreams.org/>

Advertising ... is a negative life experience ... Do advertisements work by being honest? Do advertisements simply present the facts as facts? No! ... Advertisements push psychological buttons and they push them very, very, very well.

They make up problems to sell the solutions ... They prey upon sexual identities. ... They try to make consumers believe they lack something, and from this feeling of lack, of being lesser than their peers, they create needs for products which may not be genuine, needs which do not arise genuinely out of individual consumers.

Advertisements are black holes which suck up, through appropriation, themes, interactions, models, stereotypes, fears, art, politics, everything.

But what happens to these things, which have their own integrity or value, after they have been sucked up by the black hole and shot out of the jets of advertisements?

They have been utterly trivialized. They have had their integrity and identities sucked out of them. ... What about the trivialized interactions that make up 30 second commercials. The trivialized interactions between lovers, between men and women, between husband and wife, between child and adult, between employer and employee? ... What happens when reality starts to model ... advertisement?

What happens to genuine human interaction when lovers, men and women, husbands and wives, adults and children, just start

reciting and parroting the interactions of 30 second commercials?

So take all of this and multiply ... Day after day ... button pushing after button pushing ... How many times has the advertisement promised something which the product it was selling could not deliver? Multiply that certain disappointment ... "What does this do? Don't tell me this bombardment doesn't effect mental health ... Don't tell me this doesn't corrupt the desires and wants and needs of humanity?". End quote E.A..

Yes it does corrupt: just watch the TV 'sopies'! But those raised on TV see no abnormality; to them what the actors portray – gruesome emotions – extreme and irrational responses – are normal. – sad examples of how truth is hidden and deformed. In the TV developed mind irrational behaviour becomes 'natural'. Why is deceit not made illegal or given publicity?

Our culture, uncaring of truth, is now a sordid display of manipulation and advertising. It represents a sickness of deformities and corruptions.

University experiments support that a lie, repeated often enough and with authority, will be believed; similar experiments also prove that the more who believe obvious lies the more follow. Reality is ignored.

There is no doubt that TV and mass media achieve levels of influence unimagined and untold. Change agents don't need approach levels that challenge common perceptions! The destruction of logic was achieved by gradualism and repetition.

It is in the nature of ego to defend beliefs once accepted, this leads to evasion of truth. There is no need of extremes such as drugs

in the water; the usefulness of fluoride is in its undermining of health.

There is just too much evidence of easy suppression of human logic and deliberate deceit. Whether a majority of young children now suffer frightening dreams I don't know but seeing the monstrosities they watch through innocent eyes, I ask: How can they avoid it?

The world presents ugliness and deformity as normal! From humanized animals, imaginary monsters and face painting the child below the age of reason is dominated by deformity. Deceit deforms logic. The young mind turned a little off-course leaves intelligence frustrated and open to belief that life has no meaning and truth no value.

For all deceit on which we act in good faith, there is less than the desired outcome – every learned error is an obstacle to rational response. After generations of deceptive promotions, faith in intellect is lost: instinct is turned to distrust its own judgment.

Every screaming child is not screaming for more love of the kind that denies guidance of natural discipline. Screams represent the agony of living in frustration caused by lack of honest guidance – for some justice or meaning to guide through a darkness – or drug to dull their agony of frustration.

The young child is at the peak of its hunger for true life-guidance and all it gets is smothering love and mindless TV. It screams for love sincere – to gain life's reality and ease the frustration that is consuming its life's potential. The human child is born with a brain for logic to enable control of behaviour. When denied nature, the torture only ends when natural impulses die.

The blind follow blind leaders knowing them unworthy. We hide in sport, drugs and trivia with no confidence to respond in our own interests. Since this research millions of lives have been shortened or ruined but in that time the problem has not received the publicity of a single sports event.

“Politically Correct humanism” is incessantly promoted as ‘caring’, ‘non-judgmental’ and ‘rational’! Disguised by this simple sop ‘soap operas’ characters on TV act “petty”, “judgmental”, “cruel”, and the innocent see this as guidance.

We cannot be 'caring' and 'non-judgmental' in the same life! If we really care we must be honestly judgmental. We are only non-judgmental if we don't care!

Most will not read to this point, they will find it too distressing to be part of the most disgusting child abuse ever. But the caring read to know and help stop this abuse; our attitude exposes motivation. Those who put their comfort before truth expose that they don't care.

Step 8:

Advance: go back to the crossroads

From “*Spiritual Laws*” by Emerson, quote: *"No man can learn what he has no preparation for learning, however near to his eyes is the object. ... Our eyes are holden that we cannot see things that stare us in the face, until the hour arrives when the mind is ripened, -- then behold them, and the time when we saw them not is like a dream."* End quote.

Mind manipulation is something those subject to it cannot see, or may refuse to see

in relation to them-selves. Preparation for learning is in the first effort the child makes; it begins by its own efforts. To it life may seem as the object hidden within a puzzle drawing; at first hard to find but in the searching its mind is set to logic. As manipulation enters this realm logical ability declines and manipulation becomes enslavement.

John 8:31-35 *“If you dwell within the revelation I have brought, you are indeed my disciples; you shall know the truth and the truth will set you free. “They replied, we are Abraham’s descendents; we have never been in slavery to any man. What do you mean by saying, “You will become free men’? “In very truth I tell you, said Jesus, that everyone who commits sin is a slave”.*

Social crime is called sin; no developed human would commit sin for it is the equal of cutting off your nose to spite your face. But this enslavement is a large part of about 99% of all to have lived so, if Jesus was not believed then, how can I expect to be believed now?

We can now see how effective “Hegelian Dialectic” is when adapted to mind manipulation; add the efficiency of peer-group socializing for isolation of each generation from cultural history. See the efficiency of T.V. and mass media in general to impress degenerate values as advanced values; then add the force of pop music for brutish seduction.

At the same time we see how the natural development of the intellect is deformed to increase gullibility and enhance reliance on leaders.

Yes we live in different times! 2000 years ago people (as we see it today) were

uneducated. But still our best education does not enlighten us to the potentials and dangers of enslavement by deceit – culture is now arranged so as to promote this enslavement and today we are even more dominated by immoral values and quisling leaders.

However, overall we are now far more advanced in knowledge and have access to enlightenment! Our services now allow access to information if we choose to use our intellectual gift. Also language is very far from that of 2000 years ago.

So, by admitting the logic and looking at the evidence we can prove our situation to ourselves. More efficiently deceived, do we have the courage and will for life?

Our needs are now exposed and show our loss of moral sense and vision. But all elements are available to human control and involve our ability to either gain individual initiative or suffer its removal.

We need no longer accept seduction to incompetence; we need no longer trust leaders for guidance and life support. So let us go back a few years and read words of someone not so subject to today’s corruption and perhaps gain some idea of the direction we should be heading.

“Man the Reformer”, Emerson: “I will not dissemble my hope that each person whom I address has felt his own call to cast aside all evil customs, timidities, and limitations, and to be in his place a free and helpful man, a reformer, a benefactor,... not content to slip along through the world like a ... spy, escaping by his nimbleness or apologies as many knocks as he can, but a brave and upright man, who must find or cut a straight road to everything excellent in

the earth, and not only go honorably himself, but make it easier for all who follow to go with honor and with benefit."

Yes the language is a little strange to us, and in those days humanist indoctrination did not deny us the convenience of using "man" for "mankind" so as to be inclusive of women. So refreshing!

But Emerson again (1803-82): "*Truth is the summit of being: justice is the application of it to affairs. All individual natures stand in the scale, according to the purity of this element in them.*

A healthy soul stands united with the Just and the True, as the magnet arranges itself with the pole, so that he stands to all beholders like a transparent object betwixt them and the sun, and whoso journeys towards the sun, journeys towards that person. ... Thus, men of character are the conscience of the society to which they belong".

Well we did not have the intellectual resources to break free 2,000 years ago but now, hopefully with our minds set to a new rhythm, let us look at some writing by Alexis Carrel, (1873-1944).

Carrel was a French surgeon and biologist who immigrated to the USA and, in 1906, joined the New York staff of the Rockefeller Institute for medical research and won the Nobel Prize 1912 for work on blood vessels.

Carrel, like some others quoted, can be classed as an insider to Globalism. Working within their system he would be knowing of their ways and thinking.

From his: "*Man, the Unknown*", quote: "*Mental activities are influenced by social*

environment as profoundly as by the fluids of the body. Like physiological activities, they improve with exercise. ... The Constitution of an Alpine guide is much superior to that of an inhabitant of New York. Nevertheless the organs and muscles of the latter suffice for sedentary life.

Mind, on the contrary, does not unfold spontaneously. The son of a scholar inherits no knowledge from his father. If left alone on a desert island, he would be no better than Cro-Magnon men.

The powers of the mind remain virtual in the absence of education and of an environment bearing the stamp of the intellectual, moral, aesthetic, and religious accomplishments of our ancestors. The psychological state of the social group determines, in a large measure, the number, the quality, and the intensity of the manifestations of individual consciousness.

If the social environment is mediocre, intelligence and moral sense fail to develop. These activities may become thoroughly vitiated by bad surroundings. Consciousness is thus exposed to the attacks of its intellectual and spiritual surroundings. ... the frontiers of the mind are entirely open. According to the nature of these attacks, it develops in a normal or defective manner.

Intelligence depends largely on education and environment. Also, on inner discipline, ... It has to be moulded by the habit of logical thinking, by that of mathematical language, and by a methodical study of humanities and sciences. ...

The education of the intelligence is relatively easy. But the formation of the moral, aesthetic, and religious activities is

very difficult. The influence of environment on these aspects of consciousness is much more subtle. ...

Civilization has not succeeded, so far, in creating an environment suitable to mental activities.

The low intellectual and spiritual value of most human beings is due largely to deficiencies of their psychological atmosphere.

The supremacy of matter and the dogmas of industrial religion have destroyed culture, beauty and morals, as they were understood by the Christian civilization, mother of modern science. ... The intellectual classes have been debased by the immense spread of newspapers, cheap literature, radios and cinemas.

Unintelligence is becoming more and more general, ... Strange to say it often exists with advanced scientific knowledge. School children and students form their minds on the silly programs of public entertainments. Social environment, instead of favoring the growth of intelligence, opposes it with all its might. ...

End quotes. Shortened for space saving; Emphasis Added.

Does that not reveal that those who now use culture against us, very well understood what we reveal here? The educated were then well aware that 'humanity' is a learning process and therefore open to manipulation.

So we find that the basic knowledge on which our debasement has been organized and accomplished has been known for hundreds of years; Carrel was speaking near a hundred years ago. How would he have

felt today seeing that these trends were, not only not corrected but used deliberately to neutralise the commonalty?

Did he expose it as warning?

Denigration of life has certainly been in long progress. Do we now see that by accepting promoted opinions, rather than thinking or researching to find logical answers, we offer ourselves to sacrifice!

Example (repeat)

Let's again consider the meaning of "democracy"? Government of the people by the people and for the people! More important, it also means freedom of information for mind and human development! Only this special freedom gives people true democracy.

Only by democracy will we avoid deceits to cause harm to ourselves. All gain access to truth and ability to develop the true self rather than live as genetically controlled animals!

Step 9:

As ye sow so shall ye reap

"Life lies behind us as the quarry from whence we get tiles and cornerstones for the masonry of today. Emerson

What are the results of government by public deception? Look about you! Is life in lesser disaster than shown here? We have gained knowledge and ability to use it yet, when apparently concerned people are asked why they do not take-up or promote true democracy we get zombie responses.

Why are so many not interested in making the easy transition to freedom? Why no care that under criminal government all are

debased and in grave danger? Why the love of useless complaining?

We make silly excuses despite that simple reasoning shows that, until we select our own representatives, we are unable to know the truth or trust in either culture or law; or enforce any constitutional right. A popular excuse is that if we elect independents they will soon form parties. This is a truly mind controlled nonsense:

A/ Democracy is NOT government by independents. An "Electorate Chosen" candidate can no more be independent of the electorate by whom he is chosen than can one party chosen!

The party chosen representative represents the party by which chosen!

Who does the party represent?

Democratically chosen representatives represent the electorate by which chosen. The electorate replaces the 'party' and the electorate is the people; there is unity between electorate and representative.

B/ That a group of responsible people coming together to make a decision must come to a majority opinion, clearly does not make of these a party any more than it makes those 'party chosen' into a new party. All representatives represent those who choose them as candidates, they have no obligation to those who vote for them, their loyalty is to those who chose them and support their candidacy!

C/ It is surely not intelligent to prefer government by a criminal organization to a government by members of the community chosen directly by the community itself. Rejection of democratic government is a consequence of mind manipulation.

Where are we now?

Democracy cannot be installed before we educate ourselves to understand it.

We are facing a world growing less honest, more violent, more cruel! Is the love that leads to self-sacrifice dying? Is the love of self that leads to injustice, greed and hate growing? Are we losing ability to fix or understand simple problems and divisions?

A Nutritional Crisis:

Why no public protest about nutrients missing from foods or the push to ban the cheap nutrients we need to replace those missing and which double the health problems of the world? Why is nutritional training ignored today's medical training?

Why are so many pharmaceuticals declared tested and safe, found to have serious side effects? Why do we find 'medical services mistakes' among the highest causes of death? Why is sickness growing and why does law hound simple alternatives?

Many people, without research effort or use of reasoning based on knowledge, reject as impossible any idea either of dictatorial World Government or that our elected governments betray us.

But, as we have already seen on this site every area of corruption and betrayal of human welfare has been used and admitted by leading intellects both in and outside Globalism.

So enough of why? The hard part is facing the problem, now that we have done that we can begin to fill in the picture sketched out. Because of the complexity and the natural desire to avoid unpleasant reality we need enough evidence to take the serious nature of the problem beyond logical challenge!

“Background Briefing”

Part 3.

Step 10:

Some democratic history

“*The Dilemma of Democracy*” by Lord Hailsham (1978). Globalists, backed by the force of finance, have no worry about exposure. All effort against moral subversion (such as by Dr. Wertham with “*Seduction of the Innocent*”: which exposed comic books as conveying deformities into the child mind) is overcome by the people shapers by simply crushing the opposition under weight of words and use of their own ‘accredited’ authorities.

Hailsham could be said to be a student of “Social Order”, a person working in the system as a conventionally educated person seeing the looming catastrophe of a contrived ideology as an increasingly undemocratic democracy. He reveals manipulation before people shapers became known as exposed today and it is interesting to see in development.

Two kinds of Democracy: Quote: “*The genesis of this book lay in a desire to rethink my own political philosophy ...*

I no longer believed that a simple defence of my own party or its philosophy matched the needs of our time. ...

As I wrote, it gradually became clear to me what was in my mind. Our troubles derive from the fact that we are halting between two inconsistent opinions about the nature of democracy, indeed about the nature and function of government, and between the two we are unable to make up our minds.

Both opinions claim to be democratic. Both assert they are libertarian. Both claim to

rest upon the interest of the people. Yet each is wholly inconsistent with the other.

The politics of the next twenty-five years may well depend upon the encounter between the two, and more will depend on the outcome than the future of the British Islands. The two theories are the theory of centralised democracy, known to me as elective dictatorship and the theory of limited government, in my language the doctrine of freedom under law. Between the two theories there can ultimately be no compromise.

Both may depend upon universal adult suffrage. But the one will assert the right of a bare majority in a single chamber assembly, possibly elected on a first past the post basis, to assert its will over a whole people whatever that will may be. It will end in a rigid economic plan, and, I believe, in a siege economy, a curbed and subservient judiciary, and a regulated press. It will impose uniformity on the whole nation in the interest of what it claims to be social justice. It will insist on equality. It will distrust all forms of eccentricity and distinction. It will crush local autonomy. It will dictate the structure, form, and content of education.

It may tolerate, but will certainly do its best either to corrupt or destroy, [theist] religion. It will depend greatly on caucuses or cadres to exert its will. Some will be directly appointed by patronage as in the increasing number of 'Quangos'. Others will be elected by a tiny minority of dedicated activists and apparatchiks relying on the apathy of the rest as a passport to office.

This is already happening in some unions and local authorities. It will worship

material values, but not succeed in producing material plenty. When its policies fail, it will rely strongly on class divisiveness or scapegoats to distract attention from its failures.

Among the white races the present apostles of this type of democracy are mainly of the left. In Eastern Europe and in parts of Africa and Asia they have already succeeded in producing 'people's' democracies. In this country they still represent a small minority,(1978) though they include Privy Councillors amongst their number. My criticism of them may be resented ...

[Hailsham did well in sorting out the confusions of his times and today we see that elective dictatorship (communism) is the true nature of the democracy that developed under pressure of a dumbed down culture and Hegelian Dialectic manipulation.]

To such critics I will reply that their complacency is misplaced. Centralised democracy is not the prerogative of Socialism or of left-wing extremists.

The reappearance of Fascism under the banner of the National Front should sound a warning, and, if history is any guide, such movements will not be sent away simply by abuse or attempts at suppression.

The best modern exposition of centralised democracy so far may be 'The British Road to Socialism' issued by the Communist Party of Great Britain. But both Hitler and Mussolini and the various Quislings in Europe were apostles of the same creed.

So was Sir Oswald Mosley in Britain. All were spawned from the loins of nineteenth

century Liberalism, and although the progeny was manifestly illegitimate, it was none the less authentic.

All these creeds are the natural offspring of two related humanist philosophies, utilitarianism and legal positivism. The belief of the first is that the common good is the only criterion of political action, and that talk of human rights and natural law or justice to borrow Bentham's luminous phrase, is no more than 'nonsense on stilts'.

The exponents of centralised democracy on the left should pause to reflect that the mirror image of their political methods and ideals is presented by the National Front, and their main constitutional dogmas are supported ever more explicitly as time goes on by Mr Enoch Powell.

Above all, I find it strange that they do not consider the example of South Africa.

Apartheid was illegal under the entrenched clauses of the old South African constitution. One nationalist measure after another depriving the coloured community of its rights was struck down by the Supreme Court. Unhappily, there was one gap. The Senate was first packed and thereafter the Supreme Court was bypassed. The doctrine of centralised power had secured another triumph.

If they reflect upon these sinister examples from the right, left-wingers will, I hope, consider my presentation of the alternative as something better than Tory special pleading.

[Centralizing power secretly translated capitalism into communism without change of name, and that is how it stands today. See later books.]

But what is the alternative? I do not present it as a new thing invented by myself, but it may be that it is novel in this context. It is the old doctrine inherent from the very first, that is, from the time of Bracton onwards, in English Law, that those in a position of political authority may not rule absolutely, that, being human, even kings may not place themselves above the law, and may not make laws which affront the instructed conscience of the commonalty.

This is the theory of limited government. Hitherto I have called it the doctrine of freedom under law, and, in some ways, and in other contexts, it may be the better name. But it is, I believe, the traditional doctrine of Western Christendom, indeed also of pre-Christian Western philosophers, running like a golden thread through the thinking of European writers from Plato onwards.

It reached its peak I believe, in England in the time of Burke before Bentham's Utilitarianism, the belief in the greatest happiness of the greatest number, married the legal positivism of his friend Austin, whose creed was that the command of the ruler was all that there was to be said to define the now law.

From this union sprang all the various political ideologies which have sought to find intellectual justification in the unlimited authority of the state combined with a benevolent intention described as the common good. [As intellectual ability declined the concept of limited government became a muddy street.]

The reason for the growth of centralised democracy is largely historical. For at least three centuries the question of politics revolved around the relationship between privileged rulers and the unrepresented

ruled. During this period Parliament came to be regarded as the guardian of liberty, and the executive as the representative of lawful authority. But the conflict came to an end with the total victory of Parliament at the time of the Act of Settlement. (Thenceforward Parliament controlled the executive by way of Cabinet government.)

But it did not take long for men to notice that the victorious Parliament was itself unrepresentative based as it was on an uneven franchise, unequal constituencies corrupt membership. So began the struggle for a reform of the franchise, attempted during the eighteenth century, interrupted by the French revolutionary wars, achieved to a limited extent by the Reform Bill but pursued thereafter and completed only in my lifetime by the grant of the so-called 'flapper vote' in 1925.

If only Parliament could be made to represent the whole adult population, it was argued, abuses would be remedied, injustices expunged, inequalities abolished.

So, at each stage, a proposed extension of the franchise moved hand in hand with the demand for progress.

[As we now see, electoral franchise does not and cannot, create democracy: only public control of candidates gives democracy!]

Since 1925 the demand has been to put into practice the benefits already available in theory. The emphasis has been on welfare and social reform, and, despite recession and world war, much has been achieved, so much indeed that it seemed at first that nothing was amiss. But gradually people have come to realise that all is not well. Our society is not stable. Whole

geographical units show signs of wishing to opt out.

Organised minorities clamour to be heard, and, what they cannot win by the ballot box, seek to extract by violence or by depriving the population of their rightful needs. In a world of universal franchise, loyalty is at a discount.

Self-discipline is a dirty word. Law and order have become objects of ridicule. Every restraint must be removed, and the anarchic total described as liberation, permissiveness, or even humanism. Worst of all, society is divided as never before.

It is only now that men and women are beginning to realise that representative institutions are not necessarily guardians of freedom, but can themselves become engines of tyranny. They can be manipulated by minorities, taken over by extremists, motivated by the self-interest of organised millions. We need to be protected from our representatives no less than from our former masters. ...

“The alternative is the theory of limited government, familiar enough when men could recognise the distinction between government and governed because they were in different hands, but long obscured by anonymous majorities and rising standards of life.

The theory of limited government offers precisely what the dominant theory denies. In place of uniformity it offers diversity. In place of equality it offers justice. In place of the common good, it protects the rights of minorities and the individual.

As an alternative to regulation it propounds the rule of law. It does not seek to

overthrow governments or institutions, or abolish universal franchise or popular rule. But it prescribes limits beyond which governments and Parliaments must not go, and it suggests means by which they can be compelled to observe those limits.

In place of concentrating, it diffuses power. It confers rights of self-government on previously ignored communities. It offers protection against the oppressiveness of unions and corporations.

Above all it corresponds with the general conscience of mankind. While the dominant theory propounds that a bare majority may pass what laws it wills, the doctrine of limited government asserts that individuals and minorities have rights against constituted authority, even when this is elected by universal franchise.

Agamemnon was wrong to sacrifice Iphigenia to give a fair wind to the fleet. He would not have done right had he been authorised to do so by popular acclamation, as no doubt he was.

Caiaphas spoke falsehood when he asserted it was expedient that one man should die for the people.

He would not have spoken truth even had he a unanimous vote of the Sanhedrin, or the full approval of the majority, as perhaps he did when the crowd yelled for the release of the Barabbas and the crucifixion of the innocent Christ.” End Quotes. E. A.

Elected dictatorship has been in refinement for centuries. We should be aware that no law or constitution can protect us if our representatives are chosen by others.

The first step to democratic freedom is the termination of the party political system.

The second step is that the people be represented by their own 'chosen and elected' representative however, now that the electorate is so "dumbed down" this would seem to need an extraordinary awakening.

The safety of limited government can only come when people accept responsibility for choosing their own representatives. It is not a big step but many fear the unknown! However logic offers no alternative.

These things should be well known but our dumbing down leaves us fearful of our judgment. As it has been said: *"Those who fail to learn the lessons of history are bound to repeat them."* We have had our chances but lessons ignored are unlearned.

The danger has been visible and Hailsham saw that centralized power quickly became manipulated dictatorship!

It seems that most people in the English-speaking world still (if vaguely) have the idea that our democracy is that of *"freedom under law"*, but by now readers surely know that is just part of our delusion. Globalism will never admit its trickery, or capitalism its dictatorship, but, as we see, something is very wrong in our world.

In particular, since the second 'gulf war' the 'great' democracies have introduced shaded legislation to give governments power of any despised dictatorship and power will, without fanfare, be used as it suits!

We can never trust dishonest governments and fools in parliament have no foresight! The outcome (if we allow it) will be drastic reduction of world populations for elitist benefit?

Government is our business!

Step 11.

Philosophy – Ideology – Religion!

Mind Manipulation is the real weapon of mass human destruction! Fake 'human rights' and 'anti-discrimination' disguise its dangers! It is idiotic, horrific and backed by Government. Law helps blind and bind us to manipulation!

Since becoming indoctrinated to humanist ideas we have become pretenders to justice and compassion while becoming realists to accepting ever-growing crime, cruelty and contempt for human values – and, yes, we do still claim to be civilized.

Note: Matthew 4:5-7 The devil: *"..throw yourself down; for scripture says, 'He will put his angels in charge of you, and they will support you in their arms, for fear you should strike your foot against a stone.'"* Jesus: *"Scripture says again, 'You are not to put the Lord your God to the test.'"*

We are given intelligence to use; if we do not use our gifts then the consequences are our own.

So many follow Satan's tempting yet these still expect God to clean up their mess?

Philosophy, Ideology, Religion, three words of meaning so alike they may be considered the same. These, "structures of belief", are exclusive to humans. They are important to our life attitudes. Common animals have no access to such concepts.

It is fortunate perhaps, that there have been many philosophies, religions and ideologies and in the normal way of life we take on a mixture. We, in part, adapt our "nurture" (the way we are raised) to our "human" natures so that we can, to some important

degree, gain balance with our human nature and make progress.

However, people of excessive greed and arrogance are 'animalist' orientated and when in power promote "animal" nature. These introduce philosophies in their favour! As a result our nurture turns more in support of our animal bodies and human intelligence becomes increasingly directed in service to animalist behaviour.

Atrocious! Worse! Leaders have learned that the quickest way to subdue and reshape culture is to destroy its moral base. When this is done they insert a plausible alternative. That is the crime of Humanist arrogance.

The master planners know that human nature is developed by experience and, if deprived of humanizing experience or deceived about it, then humanity can be deformed, retarded and enslaved. To this end Globalists created a pretend philosophy and called it "humanism" to convince the indoctrinated that human was being beneficially understood. But the human thus created is artificial and the corrupted intellect turns to stagnant dogma.

The suffering now expanding around the world is the result of these inset delusions deforming the behaviour of those who have them and these, then creating misery for those who do not want them. Everything from health to humanity; from sex to sanity; from politics to pastimes; all has been redefined and deformed to fit the plans of people who think of themselves as Gods but are too egocentric to see that they are fools.

They have redesigned, by cancer of deformity, the behaviour of millions of young people more lovely than the cutest of

animals but by reducing their behaviour options, have robbed them of their potential to develop in the fullness of human life. As we have seen from Chapter 1, the information on which this sabotage is based is well known.

The selfish god sect:

The humanist sect is totally arrogant in its god complex. Blinded by love of ego they claim entitlement to reduce humanity from self-development to common animal.

In this cause they develop a fetish for word manipulation. They relate language to that of their victims to take words in common use and deform common meanings. They teach the young, that authoritarianism is bad – they claim it a degrading Christian failing, then claim Christians are "judgmental" because they can discriminate between good and bad behaviour.

Authoritarianism and judgmental are two interesting words as, in use, they easily become self-damning. When one claims another to be 'judgmental' or 'authoritarian' the speaker is clearly making a judgment and projecting a personal authority.

Humanist ideology claims there is no social truth but, at the same time humanists claim that what they say is true: i.e. that creation is/was a chance event; there is no creative intellect and no social truth. But, as their philosophy is based on "emotion" rather than "reason", they cannot see their self-contradictions as self-contradictions.

On the other hand Christians claim belief in truth but may not see that this also becomes contradictory when related "selectively" to the dogma of the religion they favor. Many are now bound to a humanist ideology, inserted within a claimed Christianity.

They do not see that if their Creator represents the truth of the creation then, if they do not seek to live within the progress of living truth, they are in denial of their Creator.

To be honest to truth we must take our guidelines seriously and see that truth is universal to life eternal – that life grows in truth for life's advancement. Truth cannot be alive in development after turned into dogma. As Jesus told us: *"I am the way; I am truth and I am life!"* And as we are also told: Our God is God of the living not of the dead!

So a statement on behalf of truth honestly sought is not a statement of desire but of honesty! The authority of it is not of personal arrogant desire but acceptance of creation's reality. There is a big gap between arrogance and honesty! Those who reveal human nature free of personal preference are not being judgmental or pushing personal authority.

However, those of Humanist religion, unable to claim the backing of a truth whose existence they deny, must base every statement or claim on their own desire, authority or emotion: and can never be other than authoritarian or judgmental.

The crime of the "people shapers" is that they manipulate forces beyond their understanding, and deceive themselves. As Jesus said of those who abuse children – better to be tied to a stone and tossed into the ocean. We need to understand that this crime against humanity is atrocious

Alexander Solzhenitsyn (USSR)

Quote: *"To do evil a human must first of all believe that what he's doing is good... Ideology - that is what gives evil doing its*

long-sought justification and gives the evildoer the necessary steadfastness and determination. That is the social theory which helps to make his acts seem good instead of bad in his own and others' eyes, so that he won't hear reproaches and curses but will receive praise and honours." End quote.

W. Bowen (USA) 1984. Quote: *"Globalists wield almost limitless power because of their wealth and position. They are convinced that what they are doing is for the eventual salvation of mankind and the planet earth. The greatest strength they possess is that the general public ... does not even know they exist."* End quote.

Yes to be effective they have to work in an effective secrecy as they cannot persuade us by virtue of reason and evidence.

Do Globalists think that what they do is for the eventual salvation of mankind? Only if we accept that Globalists see themselves as Gods! Those who teach their deceits: their so called, "useful idiots", must first be deceived. Humanists sabotage human development by promoting confidence in "survival of the fittest and constructing a plausible belief system around it.

At core of it:

Globalists themselves must know there is a Creator and that they are in rebellion.

What they are trying to do today is what they failed with originally. By claiming the true knowledge of the gods is unfit for or dangerous to the human mind; or is liable to perversion and misuse, and loss of virtue if revealed to vulgar and unpurified spirits, they maintain control by use of a form of outer worship, effective but imperfect, for the commonalty.

Step 12:**The Child Seducers by John Steinbacher
Printed in 1971.**

Quote: "Even though the idea that any *EXPERT* in conditioning techniques may set out deliberately to shape behaviour to conform to some individually pre-conceived particular pattern is repugnant to all thinking Americans, this in no manner dissuades the zealots dedicated to the cause of reshaping human behaviour.

Even though operant conditioning carries such accurately derogatory labels as 'mind-bending' and 'brain washing' you will find this technique being implemented with great speed in many of the schools of our land.

Operant conditioning is such a highly controversial psychological technique that its ethical, and other ramifications, of scientifically controlling human behaviour, has long been debated.

Under normal circumstances of day-to-day living, each individual is relatively free to select that behaviour which is meaningful to him as an individual. Under operant conditioning, individual behaviour patterns can be drastically altered, for here behaviour patterns are selected *FOR* the individual *BY* a trainer, or experimentalist, or eventually by the State.

This is a frightening form of human intervention and manipulation being promoted in our government controlled schools.

The rationale under which sex education has been introduced into the schools may be found in the following statements. According to Sigmund Freud (Social Medicine and Hygiene, 1907)

"I should prefer that parents NOT concern themselves at all with any explanations regarding matters of sexuality. Above all, schools should not evade the task of mentioning sexual matters. Schools should present lessons about the animal kingdom, which should include the great facts of reproduction.

These facts should be given their due significance and emphasis should be laid on the fact that man shares with the higher animals EVERYTHING essential to his own organization." And

*"In those countries which leave the education of children either wholly or in part in the hands of religions the above method urged, would of course, NOT be practicable. For NO religion will ever admit the *IDENTITY in NATURE* of MAN and BEAST, since to the religious person the *IMMORTALITY* of the soul is an absolute foundation for *MORAL* training, which they cannot forego."*

[Note: It should be clear to those of free mind that man does NOT share "everything essential to his own organization" with animals. Man has an intellect that is entirely beyond that of common animals despite all effort to train animals in human behaviour. We also see that Humanism itself is a religion without creative logic. Ed.]

Freud cites as the authority for these views the writings of the self-avowed atheistic Dutch dramatist 'Multatuli' who separated from his family, led a Bohemian life in Amsterdam, Brussels and elsewhere, finally settling in Germany. Multatuli particularly opposed the influence of church, and religion, on individual freedom of thought.

... The simple fact is family life-sex education courses are vehicles of this philosophy, for they expound absolute permissiveness, designed to encourage atavistic behaviour; the films invigorate the labile fantasies of even the dullest, not-yet-self-controlled child, and no child, so titillated, can learn the basics of education which properly should be the only material presented in a classroom setting.

This can only be defined as an absolute usurpation of the child's right to be properly educated.

[i.e., The child's right to be human. Ed.]

Sensitivity training, a technique employing 'psychological uppers and downers,' is a slough of Esalen, and National Training Laboratories (NTL) of the National Education Association (NEA).

Initially, sensitivity training was eulogized as a promoter of "good interpersonal relations" and group cohesiveness.

However, trainers insist that each individual must submerge his own integrity to that of the group, leaving the participant subject to the machinations of the trainer and/or the group.

In recent years, countless numbers of individuals have reported that depravity has become the epoxy of sensitivity training.

And now word comes in from widely differing parts of the country that participation in sensitivity is becoming increasingly demanded of school administrators and of those who teach family life-sex education courses, those who are involved in physical education, the various branches of the social studies programs, home economics, etc.

It is as Dr. Charlotte Crabtree recently enthused to those attending a Social Studies Symposium in Portland, Oregon, 'You are pioneers; you are right out on the frontier when you teach this very dangerous material.'

To date, NO analyses of our programs are possible . . . analyses are still very primitive but we HOPE for some changes when Taxonomy is committed to PPBS (Planning Programming, Budgeting System data processing procedures)."

From variously designed sensitivity training sessions, most resembling nothing more than a witches' Sabbath, 'newly trained trainers' are spewed forth to spread their heresies, and to effect various forms of behavioural and attitudinal changes throughout the land and especially throughout the whole educational system of America, for in the words of Lester Kirkendall, "We have too many youth indoctrinated with the parochial attitudes of their families." (Basic Issues in Sex Education, California School Health, Vol. 3, No. 1, January, 1967).

And from NEA's Issues in Training comes this word, "Training, even though it has NOT reached professional status, and ill-defined as it is, has had good acceptance in many organizations." (Notably in the field of education.)

"There is NO clear-cut set of standards for trainers" (therefore no ethical absolutes) ... "professionally, knowledge in the fields of sociology, psychology, social work, educational psychology, psychiatry, personnel or administration, whether gained from an academic situation OR whether self-taught, is considered to be helpful," and " 'Getting to know you,

Getting to feel, free and easy' is more than just a line from a popular song. It's BASIC to success in interpersonal relations."

[Note: although examples given may represent the worst of it, nevertheless we see seduction of young minds is so easy as to need no professional training or scientific understanding. This assault on innocent minds has now been operating, world wide, at different levels, for a few generations so it cannot be denied that many parents are 'dumbed down' to it.]

Through the mediums of education in sexuality, of sensitivity training, and of operant conditioning, trainers have learned that it is all too easy to manipulate a child by denigrating parents. By extolling amorality, they have also learned how to preclude the formation of individual conscience and individual integrity in a child, and how to effectively alienate a child from his parents through the changing of moral norms.

When sufficient downgrading of parental values has occurred a child will not identify with the appropriate, or like-sex parent.

An ongoing study of child-parent identification has revealed fairly serious to severe maladjustment in 92% of those children not making proper identification with his or her parent(s). This is a frightening statistic.

Professor George Odiorne of the University of Michigan summed up the whole problem when he stated:

"Many trainers are 'amateur head shrinkers' that lack the proper psychological training, psychiatric damage may result."... End Quotes. Emphasis added.

That is from the "Foreword" by M. Royer, M.S. In the book proper (P93-7) we find side-by-side comparison between the 1939 National Socialist (NAZI) Sex Education Experiment, and the 1969 Anaheim High School District (AUHSD) Sex Education Experiment. They are the same, any detail difference probably occurred in translation.

Sensitivity training is better described as "*sensitivity deprivation instillation*". It is designed to de-sensitise children so as to allow comfort for primate responses. As we see from the responses of parents and activists, it is very successful for, were parents not already 'dumbed down' they would see the enormity of what is being done and fight to the death to defend their children from mental deformity.

A 'niggle' with the above is use of the word EXPERIMENT. De-humanizing is the 'experiment' used by Communists to destroy and replace existing cultures. By 'text book' it may be experimental but, in practical terms, it is as scientific as time and tide. It is basic to logic and tested on whole cultures. It is reliable for use worldwide without need of further research and so basic as to need no formal training.

Humanity is learned! Deceit is imposed! De-humanizing needs no professionals' only substitute human development. Any parrot will do; in fact may do it better, the parrot brain has no human capacity for moral concern or for question of what it is doing.

An important reminder; in a culture where violent disposal of dissidents is impractical it does take a few generations for complete indoctrination. We have already had a few generations; has it been enough to prevent reversal or rebellion? It seems those behind

this program believe this war is won and massive depopulation can begin.

Justice to John Steinbacher's book would need a very long review and is not needed here, hence my quoting from the foreword. If you need more to awaken your human concerns try second-hand bookshops.

It is important we see how easy it is to trick parents into allowing extreme child abuse, but note: this could not be done without control of mass media and political compliance; and that would not have been possible without historic time, arrogance, access to high finance and, most important of all, the use of ideology to sway emotion.

Step 13:

DIG: The truth is available.

Neither gold nor truth will raise itself but truth is light to carry! Why do leaders, who claim to be truly concerned for public rights and welfare, never reveal our rights and our way to true democracy?

If they hide that, what else do they hide? And WHY? And to what lengths will they go? So many questions never publicised or answered! Freedom will only be gained when we, the people, accept our responsibilities.

The way to freedom is still an open road, but here is a chilly comment by Professor John McMurtry that shows, I think, just how little of this road remains.

The McMurtry article is copyright. Permission to reproduce is granted if accompanied by: Copyright (c) 2000 COMER Publications. The quotes are from "A Manifesto of Corporate Totalitarianism"

by John McMurtry, Professor of Philosophy at the University of Guelph, ON. CA. [Canada].

He here comments on British PM Tony Blair speaking about Corporate Globalization. First: words of Blair. Quote: *"These forces of change driving the future do not stop at national boundaries. Don't respect tradition. They wait for no one and no nation. They are universal."* End Blair quote.

John McMurtry comments; quote:

"Blair's statement reveals by its sweep of assertion the invasive forces of which he is a spokesman. His words are very clear. Their structure deletes the subject of every sentence as nameless, inhuman, without definition, accountable to nothing. They affirm with no moral qualifier an occupation of societies everywhere by "Forces " which will stop at no borders of national or cultural identity. All that was once secure in historical time and place is declared powerless against the transnational tide. ...

One might think that Blair's statement is a rhetorical conceit. But is it, in truth, the missing key to every act of his office? What act in his record is inconsistent with any part of it? When has Blair, or Chretien or Clinton, ever not acted in conformity to this belief in inevitable corporate globalization – "waiting for no one and no nation," trampling all who do not jump into line with its omnipotent advance. "

They are not, in fact, national leaders, but obedient expressions of these forces of corporate globalization. Once we understand that, we see into the directive logic of current historical events ... We can identify the defining features of this new

order's authority by diagnosis of Blair's own words.

(1) The forces of corporate global restructuring are without meaning or value in their direction. For they are external "forces" not what we care about intrinsically, and they "drive the future" as the forces of ... gravity move the tides.

They are to be submitted to, not because they confer meaning or give us a moral direction, but because they are all-powerful and we cannot resist them.

(2) The forces of corporate global restructuring are lawless. They "don't stop at national boundaries," as all lawful agents are obliged to do. The first principle of domestic and international law, to respect the boundaries of others, is overridden by these forces as the destiny of the world's future.

[Note: the so-called Iraq wars 1 & 2.]

(3) The forces of corporate global restructuring are unaccountable. There is no electorate or standard of behaviour or anything else that they answer to or have to answer to for their domination because "they wait for no-one and no nation" and "drive the future."

(4) The forces of corporate global restructuring are nihilist. For they "respect no tradition" ethical, Legal, or cultural- and there is no exception. ...

As we unpack the inner meaning of Blair's representative statement, we need to ask what political leader has not acted in conformity to the demands of these transnational corporate forces?

What "democratic" political or legal leadership yet seeks to make accountable,

or hedge in by enforced law, or raise any other power above, or in any way morally question the transnational corporate agenda which these forces express?

The sad fact is that our leaders demand that we accept what they themselves describe as lawless and unaccountable... " End quote.

We, who follow in some depth the political scene know that the above is true. This cultural poison is spread worldwide. The United Nations has, for years pressed downgrading borders; confusing what is ethical, legal and cultural; undermining human morality; mixing and confusing race to no rational or demonstrated humane purpose; destroying tradition and human discipline; instilling unnatural and unhealthy standards of behaviour; allowing confidence trickery as financial practice; promoting belief that some crimes have no victims and misrepresenting democracy.

All in the interests of creating confusion and installing division, this in turn clearing the way for a "lawless and unaccountable" corporate take over called Globalisation.

'Politically correct' enslavement of humanity and genocide of human life all promoted under the cloak of 'humanism'.

Among leaders of state, church, law, education, entertainment or mass media how few in 'democratic' leadership, has raised a persuasive voice in response to community complaint of corruption or atrocity? Or made any serious effort to resist or explain the globalist invasion?

How few have raised a finger; or lent an ear? Or tried to expose the lack of substance in ideology – fought against law that protects corporate crime – explained

the democracy sham, or money scam, or warned of the corruption of community morals by trickery?

Let us remember again the words of Professor Hayek *"The Road to Serfdom"* 1944; quote: *... many who think themselves infinitely superior to the aberrations of Nazism and sincerely hate all its manifestations, work at the same time for ideals whose realization would lead straight to the abhorred tyranny."* EQ.

We are so close to the unthinkable; a despotic, arrogant, all-powerful world government that obeys no law, governs by its own decree and denies humanity to the commonalty. But is there a sign the Globalists are worried? Why do they come, even a little into the open to have us told that globalism is irresistible and inevitable; that it cannot and should not be fought?

But there is something else that stands out: these international forces of corporate and commercial greed cannot be truly secret! Why do we not hear? Because those who see part of the problem do not unite to expose enough of this huge inequity to make its horror credible – because our ego is promoted and we want to protect our little insight and even by copyright make it profitable to ourselves.

Note: the only restriction on this site is that you tell people where you found information so that others can access it without deformity.

No social movement, especially one without human morals, is either irresistible or inevitable; every caring human has no moral choice other than to oppose this insanity. The basic principles we are now being induced to live by are totally false – a

dictatorial World Government is human suicide! As a WAR this IS genocide; will we surrender humanity to death of the mind as prelude to death's totality?

Others come out!

Paul Hellyer, former Deputy Prime Minister of Canada; quote: *"...globalisation is just a code word for corporatisation and colonisation. The transnationals want to re-engineer the world in such a way that they don't have to pay taxes to support social security and fix pot holes in the roads or maintain parks, and don't have to pay their employees decent wages. What they're doing is they're re-creating the conditions that existed in the time of Dickens, the Dickensian era.*

They're moving production to places, such as Honduras, where they pay women absolute starvation wages, working 13 hours a day, up to seven days a week – no environmental standards, no health care.

If they get pregnant they get fired. If they get sick they get fired. This erases 100 years of the legislation which gave workers fights, such as holidays with pay and pensions and protection against injury and so on – and the benefits of unionisation.

Well, the process has reached the point where Lewis Lapham, editor Harpers Magazine, says the U.S. has two governments: the permanent and the provisional.

The permanent government consists of the Fortune 500 magazine's largest companies, also the largest law firms and public relations firms in Washington that work for those companies, and the top bureaucrats, both civil and military and they're the permanent government.

Then there is what they call an election every once in a while and they elect the provisional government and they elect actors that come on stage and read the script written by the permanent government.” E.Q. Honourable Paul Hellyer at the "Save Canada Conference" held in Ottawa August 20 and 21, 1999.

Sound familiar? Remember Quigley? He said, 1966, that in the US every four years the people – meaning the rabble, the hoi polloi (that's us) could vent their spleen by 'kicking the rascals out' without really having any effect on policy direction. All parties serve the same masters.

Sadly, modern reformers give explanations that show they have not investigated as thoroughly as they could. It seems safe now to say that ALL international commerce is controlled by the Globalist mafia families. Nevertheless reformers should be congratulated for going as far as they have. Are people waking up or has our will for freedom already drained away?

The evidence of mind manipulation is enormous but meaningful only to those who see that truth is the essence of useful decision-making. These are humans with the courage and self-discipline to suppress pride, arrogance, selfishness and/or prejudice to achieve a basically unbiased viewpoint from which to defend and benefit human life. We can awaken our humanity and we can save ourselves.

Some will never accept learning: example the Crucifixion: Pilate found no case against Jesus but the crowd shouted – crucify. To better understand why a large number of people would want to crucify those who try to help them, we refer to a philosopher from ancient Greece.

Plato explained that if people are bound so as to see only shadows on a wall they will, in time, come to believe that the shadows are the reality. If then, you tell them that the shadows are only shadows, they will laugh at you; should you persist to force them to see the truth they will turn on you and try to kill you.

Ego rules the animal within and we now live in a world of TV generated shadows.

People have been seduced to excessive pride and deceived about the need for truth: we are mentally bound to belief in shadows.

We see ourselves as the beliefs we live by; this is personal – when behavior is based on instinct rather than on logic we instinctively evade truth! To accept correction means accepting that our lives were based on delusions!

Step 14:

A tale of Two Cities:

The simple explanation of the world financial system! When knowledge is power ignorance is death. Should we not ask ourselves: Why are the rich getting richer and the poor poorer?

The stock-market behaves erratically and pundits give advice: “So long as you are in for the long haul good stocks will always come good. There has never been a ten year period when prices have not advanced; now is the time to look for good buying.”

Parrots sitting in a 1,000 year old tree might give each other similar advice but, when rot is in the heartwood; or when an axe-man stands at the base and says, “I can get a big home out of this one” then all past history of the tree becomes irrelevant.

On the stock-market waves come and go irrespective of tides and the usurious commercial system is rotten to the core. It seems likely an axe-man is saying the tree of usurious commerce stands in the path of human progress.

Intelligence says that this huge tree will fall. It may take time but it will fall hard. This is not a time for nesting in the branches.

We are advised to take note of both old and new knowledge. Old knowledge shows us that things not only follow patterns of change but that patterns also end.

We need old history to know ourselves and we need new history to see that to advance in achievement requires the courage to change lives and attitudes. A problem of culture is that we get stuck in a groove of pride and prejudice, we fear to admit of need to change and our religion of life turns to dead dogma – to avoid the progress of a leap of faith we sell our souls.

Globalists own the world financial system; by this power they ignore frontiers and national governments. They plan a world to their design and have no need of excess population.

The financial power of this group is based on a system of corruption that is, in the Christian Bible, called usury. Usury is most commonly understood in relation to money but money represents labour; usury can be as simple as one person taking unfair advantage of another.

How does the usurious financial system operate? It operates similar to a gambling casino. A Gambling Casino is set up to take advantage of gamblers. A properly run casino does not itself gamble it makes its

profit by taking a percentage of the money gambled by others – each time it is gambled; a perfect goods and services tax.

The world financial ‘city’ is set up to take advantage of players in the game of life. Neither the ‘city of finance’ nor the ‘Casino’ creates value. The production of others is stolen by legalized fraud.

The Banking City Casino:

Suppose a thousand gamblers, each with a \$million dollars, are locked in a Casino to gamble until one has all the money.

In time half will have lost their money and been tossed out. Do you think that at this time the half remaining have average of two \$million each? Of course not, the Casino, taking its percentage on each play will already have millions in profit.

The Casino owners observe the scene; the game might be over too soon so they take some unlucky gamblers aside and offer loans at x%: some jump at the chance.

The game is now very pleasing to the casino owners! The owners are not actually risking any money; loans may appear as if deductions from profits but, as we will see, they risk nothing.

The game goes on, some losers are removed, others are loaned more money to keep them in the game; eventually only one is left. This ‘lucky’ player has several million dollars in chips, but wait, how much does he owe the Casino? Even the winner could leave in debt.

Can it be arranged so cleverly that all loans will be repaid in full? Does it matter? Some may have been thrown out owing big debts to the casino. Who cares? The casino

finishes with all, or almost all, the money brought into the game! Those ejected with debt leave with only debt; if the casino can squeeze repayment out of these it is not to recover losses, it is added profit. All that was given was gambling credit!

The suckers kept the game alive while the casino collected the real money. The casino gained all, or most of, \$1,000 million from the world and returned only misery. That is what usury means!

So there it is: the tale of two cities: the city of finance and the city of Commerce. Like our imaginary Casino, Globalists now possess most of what is of value in our world; they sell us credit to manipulate the game. Do they still need us?

The time when our wealthy nations become poor nations may be closer than we think. What nation is not in debt? What nation has escaped the mind manipulators?

It is now near one hundred years since the international bankers showed their claws to bring the US and Australian governments into line.

The persistent ruthless power and the nature of the force behind it demonstrate the pathetic weakness and servility of party politics. But above all is the eternal glory of life and the truth that sustains it.

Do you imagine that those owning the world financial system do not have the power to wreck the world economy by causing collapse of the Stock Market and banking systems?

Do you imagine that they fully understand what they are doing?

They do not imagine it will hurt them but causes and consequences are more complicated than they imagine?

Only they know when they will pull the plug but the signs are in the air. The end will be when Globalism forecloses on the globe.

We can't sit back and leave it to God to fix, recall: "*He who is not with me is against me!*" we have to prove we value our human gifts! We have the strength and ability to clean up our mess! Do we have the will?

We do not have to live by imposed values or gamble life away! Freedom is our birthright! We can govern ourselves! Our fears and torments are of our own creation! Truth is the thing of great value in our field of life!

We cannot live in progress with our own corruption! Let the 'Great Whore' of Commerce meet justice!

Some may think this simile simplistic? Today we feel the winds of change. Un-repayable loans are not made because bankers are too stupid to realize that they will never be repaid, they are made to serve their own ends. When they close the casino they claim ownership of the world.

Read Revelation 17: 1-18. Also 18: 1-24 see the "*Great Whore*" intimately tied to trade and commerce in Book 5. The Great Whore represents the usurious financial system and dates from Babylon. The ocean below her is the ocean of peoples. The seven hills are therefore seven nations of leading influence ruled by seven kings or leaders. Don't be put off by dead religious dogma.

Step 15:**What have we come to?**

Quote: *"Knowledge will forever govern ignorance: and a people who mean to be their own governors, must arm themselves with the power which knowledge gives."*
James Madison, Former US President to W.T. Barry, 1822.

It is high time to start thus arming ourselves for we now desperately need to govern ourselves. Is enslavement to despotism less important than primitive music, passing pleasure, sporting events and junk food?

Recent news: a thief awarded \$50,000 because (said the Judge) force used to subdue him (bruises and a cracked skull) was excessive. Had he been asked nicely to go and then seized advantage to kill in return for this kindness he would not have earned \$50,000. Brilliant thinking is a sign of our times?

An interesting example: Extracts from a long letter by book author Chip Elliott, written in response to an *Esquire Magazine* article entitled "Fifty Million Handguns". His letter so impressed the editors they used it as the cover story in the September 1981 issue. Elliott's comments have growing, worldwide relevance in a culture that ties its hands to fight for justice.

Quote shortened for space: *"The streets of America...are as dangerous as the roads and alleys of an earlier century. ...*

I went to carrying a 9mm Smith & Wesson automatic in ten weeks. My wife is a psychiatrist. Very attractive, very easy to intimidate, very abstracted, a likely target for muggers both outside, because she's lost in her thoughts, and at home, because punks

think doctors keep drugs in their houses (they don't).

She has a gun, too, a .38, and she knows how to use it. We are not hillbillies: we are people who went to Radcliffe and Stanford, respectively. Appalling, huh? It used to appall us too, until we were forced to realize that our lives ... were worth protecting. ...

[1978] ... we moved to Los Angeles. ... all was not as it seemed in Venice. For starters, we had moved to the intersection of turfs of two rival Mexican gangs. We got along with them. When they shot at each other—as they did less than a week after we had moved in—they shouted to us in Spanish to get out of the way.

We did. There were other clues. Walking, I would occasionally see brown spots on the sidewalk that, from my experience as a police reporter, I could recognize as bloodstains. I would notice this the way you might notice a scruff of feathers where something has gotten a small bird: a tiny memorial to violence.

One morning ... I watched a gang ... pour gasoline all over a parked car and set it on fire. ... Broad daylight. A few days later, I heard of a robbery two blocks from where we lived: A woman came to the door of a house and asked to use the telephone, said it was an emergency. When the man opened the door, her henchmen came in right behind her. The three of them stabbed the man to death and left his wife barely alive. In the next block a woman was raped twice after her nose and jaw were broken.

Just to be on the safe side ... bought a .38 snub-nosed revolver. After all, this was Los Angeles. But a gun! Who had ever owned a

gun? It cost \$160. ... I would rather have bought a painting. ... we went to the Fox Venice to see *Forbidden Planet* ... When we returned, the door had been broken in. The stereo was gone, the television was gone, the paintings and cameras and typewriters were gone. The dressers had been turned over and ransacked, the bed had been torn up and the revolver taken; the birdcage had been torn off the wall and the parakeet set free for a while until the cat got it and ate it, leaving the remains on the floor where a rug had been. ...

... On the seventeenth of December in 1978, I saw a woman mugged for her purse—and I watched her run screaming after her assailant until she collapsed, crying in the street.

On the eighteenth or nineteenth of December, my wife was at a meeting, ... I walked alone to the Venice Sidewalk Cafe for some dinner. It occurred to me that it was silly to put on a shoulder holster just to go out for a beer and a sandwich, but I did it anyway, ...

Walking home about six-thirty at night, just off the corner of West Washington Boulevard and Westminster Avenue, I was confronted by five young, well-dressed uptown brothers. Black. Okay. ... They could just as easily have been white. We were directly under a streetlight and less than fifty feet from an intersection thick with traffic.

I was not dressed as a high roller. ... I look like exactly what I am, a middle-aged guy who's seen a little more than he needs to see. I thought, what are these guys doing? Their leader pulled a kitchen knife out of his two-hundred-dollar leather jacket. His mistake was that he wasn't close enough to

me to use it, only to threaten me. He smiled at me and said, "Just the wallet, man. Won't be no trouble."

That was a very long moment for me. I remember it just as it happened. I remember thinking at the time that it was one of those moments that are supposed to be charged with electricity. It wasn't. It was hollow, silent, and chilly.

I looked at this guy and at his companions and at his knife, and I thought: Don't you see how you're misreading me? I am not a victim. I used to be a victim, but now I'm not. Can't you see the difference?

I pulled the automatic, leveled it at them and said very clearly, "You must be dreaming." The guy smiled at me and said, "Sheeeit," and his buddies laughed, and he began to move toward me with the knife. I thought, this guy is willing to kill me for thirty-five dollars. I aimed the automatic at the outer edge of his left thigh and shot him.

He dropped like a high jumper hitting the bar and yelled "Goddamn!" three times, the first one from amazement, I guess, and the second two higher pitched and from pain. He yelled at his buddies, "Ain't you gonna do nothing?" They did do nothing.

... I was not coming on like James Bond, ... I was simply protecting my right to walk around town with a lousy thirty-five dollars in my pocket and not be afraid for my life.

... I felt terribly strange, ... I realized that what I was doing—in our current state of affairs—was a cultural procedure no different from going to the grocery or getting a haircut or buying a shirt. ... if I wanted to stay alive, it was possible I would have to get used to it. ...

What happened to us, ... My world changed sometime between 1975 and 1980, ... We were lucky. We lost more than eleven thousand dollars of what we owned, but we weren't killed. We adapted. Now the guns are a normal part of our lives. ...

... We accept it as a fact of life and go right on. And it will stay a fact of life until our fellow countrymen get it out of their heads that they can do as they please, that there is no such thing as social responsibility that they have a right not to behave. Because ..., if they have the right to mug us, we have the right to shoot them.

I used to believe that these people had some justifications on their side. I used to feel that I ought to have some compassion for them, and I did. I used to believe that a job and some credit would put them on the right path. It isn't true. I also used to believe that much of the human wreckage—the millions upon millions of people with emotional damage—could be repaired. That isn't true either. ...

Let's face it. ... not all of them are poor, not by a long shot. End quote.

So what was that you were saying about terrorism? I don't know if Chip was charged, today he probably would be – now if you kill an honest person it's sad – if you kill a crook its murder. The criminal is the victim of the community, and that's not trivial! But the criminal culture that creates the crime is never put on trial. That is serious!

The sickly laws, the mis-education, we should be able to sue governments that pass unrealistic legislation and allow mass-media to promote crime. The changes that have come about in response to humanist

philosophy are, as we can see, able to be understood but not condemned to justice.

The above is a small sample of everyday events in 'western democracies'. Our culture is criminal and criminals have more protection under law than those honest. That's our culture; street crime kills and maims more people than common terrorism!

Ideologues use mind virus deliberately to cause social disintegration? We pay the price of consequences but no one is blamed or asked to pay for causes?

Those who do the dirty work are just the 'useful idiots' of manipulators. They believe the simple-minded feel-good ideas but guns neither make the honest into criminals nor lack of guns save lives.

Will we gamble stagnant animal selfishness against human truth and progress? One last quote; source unknown, "*Those whom the gods would destroy they first make mad*". Let us not surrender too soon; let's look, to the source of our madness before we leap.

Step 16:

The war of all against all

"Beware the leader who bangs the drums of war in order to whip the citizenry into a patriotic fervor, for patriotism is indeed a double-edged sword. It both emboldens the blood, just as it narrows the mind.

And when the drums of war have reached a fever pitch and the blood boils with hate and the mind has closed, the leader will have no need in seizing the rights of the citizenry. Rather the citizenry, infused with fear and blinded by patriotism, will offer up

all of their rights unto the leader and gladly so. How do I know? For this is what I have done. And I am Caesar". Julius Caesar

The above is interesting but says nothing a student of human behaviour should not know. We watch it happen and for greed and shame prefer to deny it!

When war is called peace, hate will be called love. War then becomes righteous and truth becomes crime. George Orwell warned of manipulation by deletion of words and confusion of meanings! Alert readers must be increasingly alarmed at talk of war, 'pre-emptive' strikes and virus threats that sound warnings of biological attack. The nature of war has changed and true national governments long gone!

You cannot inoculate against an unknown virus but you can persuade people that you are protecting them while you inject harm. Sick people don't fight well!

Powerful nations are now servants of global interests. Scientific war is uncaring and contemptuous! Terrorism results from an unjust use of power by those who need to reduce population. How they do so will be visible only in events. Terrorism creates distraction.

Our world is now filled with dangers! However, if we close our eyes to danger we cannot guard against it. What is reported here is not newly discovered nor made up by me, we deal with matters of established (but not publicised) knowledge. Knowing means little without understanding – many are aware of danger but few see reasons or consequences.

Public concern withers when dangers are hidden behind lies; we are led to believe

that things of great importance are massively publicized; truth in short undramatized news is ignored. Be warned!

Weapons of Mass Destruction

Dr. Brock Chisholm, in his book, *"Can Society Keep Pace With Science"* lifted a veil back in 1946. He was in a position to see developments that are only now coming to public notice.

Quote: *"Any country reasonably free to visitors can be neutralised as an effective fighting force within a few weeks. If any government becomes convinced that it will have to fight any other country at any time it would be very foolish indeed not to neutralise that other country at once."*

Any realistic government can be counted on to take that action. A thousand or so of visitors could spread bacteria or toxins to paralyse any country's power of aggression." End quote.

Are new diseases, or new strains of old diseases, chance created or results of experiments to develop weapons more dangerous than nuclear weapons? (See: AIDS – a politically protected disease). Far more people has killed or disabled by AIDS than atomic weapons.

Will governments keep to international agreements? As Dr. Chisholm presents it, any nation that is seen as a 'possible' threat must be treated as deadly and 'neutralized'. Unjustified attack is, in this view, justified – provided of course, that it is your nation and you can think of a reason.

We have no defence against paranoid aggressive leaders unless we exercise our right of self-government, and in that, of course, we have failed so shamefully.

The 'toads' in their ideological 'toad halls' easily agree with the nice Doctor: if you see someone is planning to attack you, then it makes sense to hit first. It is easy to see it that way but what he actually said was: "*If any government becomes convinced that it will have to fight any other country at any time*".

So where does it end – or, more urgently, begin? When national governments are just global government stooges – when people elect politicians chosen by (to serve) foreign interests, then where do our convictions originate?

We now live in a New World Order.

Is there any nation today that might not be 'convinced' *that it will have to fight another*, or others? In this century we have already seen the pre-emptive strike scenario advocated and used; war is no longer fought with civilized honor, it is now international criminal assault and leaders, having access to weapons overwhelming in mass destruction, can claim existence of threat as excuse to destroy what are (in modern terms defenceless) nations? Or; alternatively, exploit others so long as they can be restrained in 'hit-back' ability?

Is the only answer that the most powerful eliminate all possible opponents? Humanists/evolutionists call it "survival of the fittest" – but only if it is their call!

While the new moral attitude may appear reasonable to those confident of their strength, the possibilities for terrorism today are such that even those involved may not think of all options.

Oil shortage excuses a war but short-sightedness does not see that oil itself is our enemy of mass destruction.

Were we ever in greater danger?

The real potential of despair-based terrorism as against the terrorism of people manipulation, is yet to be proven.

Under a secretive world government, who has the power? Who, seriously studying world affairs, is not aware that our most powerful nation is being progressively undermined?

Knowledgeable financial tipsters forecast a MASSIVE crash of the USA economy; a crash whose effects will flow, like a tsunami around the world? The USA could be facing such a financial crash that, in the not too distant future, it will no longer be the world's leading nation. What will be the consequences?

The New World Order is no longer news but 55 years after the Chisholm statement we have an even newer world-order and many nations now able to produce toxins and deadly bacteria.

At the same time, in a fragile 'modern' culture, where great cities seldom have more than a few days food or fuel in reserve; where electricity blackout can be a national disaster, simple tools may cause huge damage.

With weapons easier produced than nuclear, an attack may be without warning or identification. Blame is easily allocated by one to another!

This was the danger Chisholm foresaw but his defence strategy would need takeover of smaller nations before they became alert to 'alternative weapons' potential and to their own desperate situation. Today, many small nations will be fearful for their future and seeking weapons for defence – or

revenge. So I ask: "What government, if it can develop an effective genetic or biological weapon, may not be doing so now that the seed of fear is planted"?

Who dares wait to see who is hit next? Who will not place his weapons where a first strike will not harm them – in the enemy heartland!

Who may feel forced to ever more desperate action? When national death seems imminent then national suicide, if mutually destructive of an enemy, may seem a bitter, even if futile, option. A deadly disease could be hidden in your city as an option for revenge by some small nation that feels under threat.

What CAN we DO?

A cheap, humane defence against terrorism is to eliminate the cause of injustice and despair! But the earth trembles; the smell of fear rises; pre-emptive strike is policy of war openly stated. Even so, we may still escape disaster.

We CAN reveal the evidence that we are not mere biological animals unable to distinguish between good and evil.

We CAN explain, and expose to the world in a "realistic" way, that there is a Creator beyond all man-manipulated religions; that an incredible future is there for our choice!

We CAN choose and elect our own representatives to our parliaments! Having done this then neighbours, knowing "we the people" control our government, will agree to abandon terrorist weapons – yes, they will agree – they too want to live.

We have the way! Do we have the will? If we are to survive we have to use the two-

edged sword of truth: the sword that cuts both ways. If we do not use truth in human service, then we will find ourselves cut down by the truth of our inhumanity.

Oh yes! "Who is this Brock Chisholm M.D."? Well he was the first director of the World Health Organization and lauded by the Humanist Association as Humanist of the year. He represents very well, the amoral attitude! The danger and short-sightedness of humanism, and those of the 'New World Order' he hoped to motivate.

Hunt for an Anthrax Killer:

How close to home is terror? In 2004 an hour-long USA T.V. program looked into the anthrax attacks in the USA.

It is not likely that national governments are involved with terrorist events because people chosen as quislings are just too unreliable for jobs of such sensitivity. But for Globalism the door to involvement is wide open.

The biological weapons warning was given by Dr. Chisholm in 1946; ample time to allow thinking through, detailing and planning 9/11.

The TV anthrax program found the anthrax had come from heartland US bio-defence laboratories. This was uncovered by analytical equipment able to analyse genetic structure to distinguish, not only between US anthrax and that in possession of Iraq, but even between laboratories.

As might be expected, with the CIA and other high-level investigators on the job, the trail to those actually responsible became a little muddy, it seems the culprit/s will remain free. This has not since been disputed.

A leading commentator thought the culprits might be patriots who were unhappy with progress in bio-defence. Sacrifice "for the greater good", though of dubious morality, is not uncommon.

Some may ask, "If they wanted to kill people why did they fail so badly?"

But why would they want to kill a lot of people when all they want is to 'fan the flames of hate and fear' so as to keep the commonalty behind the war effort? Have we not been prepared for such by TV propaganda fiction on lines of crazy 'right wing' patriots?

While it is not uncommon, we have to be suspicious of dissident cells in the secret services and heartland defence research organizations of leading nations. But recall: a famous president said, *"In politics, if it happens you can bet your life it was planned that way."*

Dissident cells are allowed/helped to form in order to aid clandestine or illegal operations. If things go wrong these cells are disposable without much harm. Such cells are too small to work on their own but can be of vital service to clandestine operations, their formation is made easy by influence of humanist value-free education.

Not for amateurs!

The skills shown – level of science – access – all rule out common terrorists as source of these attacks.

In this case the probability of Globalist Secret Service involvement borders on certainty, but not to be said on National TV. The plan only benefited the 'NWO' program of creating fear, conflict and confusion.

Both use of aircraft as bombs and success of the anthrax campaign needed planning by true professionals with access to science, technology and facilities far beyond the reasonable reach of common terrorists.

As with the 'aircraft as bombs' strike, the importance of the mission and skills needed would forbid that success be left in the hands of trainee pilots, such could only be used to secure the controls and follow simple orders to direct the aircraft into position for auto-pilot to fly the aircraft accurately and free of emotion to target.

Such operations need access to high-level technology to create equipment and access aircraft; to terrorist groups to do the dirty work; to flight programs of airlines and scheduling of aircraft (the right aircraft have to be at the right place when the hi-jack crews board).

Such highly complex operations are not for amateurs.

Only an international organization with secure contacts and devious purposes can do this. So I hope you saw the 'anthrax' program and become aware that leading technology does not secure our safety.

Nuclear technology spread quickly and, as seen, we are not safe from biological weapons created in our own service.

There is clear distinction between elite and common terrorism. They reveal themselves by their technical sophistication.

What I say will not worry Globalists; the public mind is set to respond to mass media. These reports just reassure the trusting that 'our' government is open and honest. But we also know we are enslaved by a

philosophy of social ignorance and will only be secure when we take responsibility for our own governing.

Quote: *“One of the saddest lessons of history is this: If we have been bamboozled long enough we tend to reject any evidence of the bamboozle. We are no longer interested in finding out the truth. The bamboozle has captured us.*

It’s simply too painful to acknowledge even to ourselves that we have been taken. Once you give a charlatan power over you, you almost never get it back. So the old bamboozles tend to persist as new ones arise.” End quote. Carl Sagan.

Yes we are being fooled! We love the mob and fear truth but the question remains: how important is family and future to you?

Robert Weston: *Let no man fear for the truth, that doubt may consume her; only he that would shut out his doubts denyeth the truth.*

Step 17:

The Humanist Consequence

Many, in ‘western’ cultures, now believe we were created by chance in a universe that came into existence by – no answer, “Big Bang from zero” no cause, no glimpse of what, why, where or how.

Though all choices lack origin, only "Intelligent Creation" does not suffer secure evidence against it, as does "Chance Creation". Many ignore truth because of feeling that so many clever people cannot be forever fooled but past civilizations, religions and cultural beliefs prove otherwise.

Emotion, pride and the comfort of peer group support all repeatedly make fools of the most intelligent of this world! We only need look at “Peer Groups” today to see how well “gradualism” works as a principle to deceive self and society. Simplism easily overcomes intelligence to foil logical understanding of our world.

For instance: we now think that the frantic reaction to friendships between young and older people is about protection the young from an increasing sexual abuse! Laws, we think, support that. But the young, pressured by constant seduction of mass entertainment, education and community acceptance, become sexually mature years earlier than is natural – extreme cases as young as nine years.

These pressures force children to sexual activity irrespective of what the law may say. The disgust generated by thoughts of older people leading the young astray is clearly not to stop the youth abuse that results from cultural sickness.

Children are abused by popular seduction (carried out constantly and blatantly by the community)! It is part of the ‘dumbing down’ process whose purpose is to delay or abort intellectual development.

Law is combined with whipping-up of emotions to protect and camouflage that program and help give appearance of legality to a political plan!

Segregation is vital to the takeover of the public mind! If peer groups were allowed to mix freely, as intellectual development requires, any changing of adolescent attitude would be questioned. Even if not, there would be a levelling and secret effort put into cultural change largely lost.

Parents also threaten the work of change agents; that is why young children are pressured into kindergarten for sly denigration of parents, this is made easier because the newly separated child feels deserted.

Human Intelligence:

Ask yourself: If those who planned and promoted the chance creation theory really believed it, why would they be so frantic about having others believe it? If there is no intelligence behind our creation, no future beyond this life, then no benefit or loss comes from how we behave.

Therefore nature would suggest we be nice to each other and make the best of it with simple rules, as do other species.

Why should what anyone else believes, or does, be of concern to you unless it hurts you personally?

Why obey the violent? Why should millions of people be maimed, tortured and murdered as in the USSR, Cambodia and elsewhere for meaningless philosophy?

Common sense shows much of our social trauma: suicides, murders, road rage, terrorism and obnoxious behaviour generally (include rape and child molestation) to be logical consequence of the belief that nothing matters!

When such belief comes in conflict with reality the fanatics fight reality.

So, if you think YOUR life is worth living, don't throw it away because of 'plausible' emotional raving. Life is a wonderful opportunity, really worth time to check out and reach logical conclusions!

Human development

Development of human intelligence is our future; life is about creative achievement based on truth. At present we only use a small part of our brainpower and there is infinitely more to discover and enjoy!

But creative development is not the whole advantage humanity has; we must include human intellectual advance, caring, adventure, foresight and exploration. The awakening of human potential is rewarding beyond any other experience.

Natural human development should be a science-based study. Truth is the name of our God and truth means being sincere with what is true/correct/ honest/accurate rather than with lies/dishonest/faulty etc. It may be a leap of faith for many but even to glimpse our potential is to stimulate and expand our enthusiasm for intellectual development.

To deceive children does not require brilliant intelligence. Though robbery of mind uses sophisticated planning we can still access basic knowledge by combining learning with natural curiosity. Today the child that learns to read before going to school has a big advantage over its dumbed-down classmates to the extent it may find school frustrating.

True science is a marriage of logic and evidence! The key to political and cultural reform is to expose the union of emotion and lies used to deceive us.

No logic or science has been offered to challenge the science or logic presented here; 'plausible' is not science. We only gain our social ideal with dedication to truth.

Policies and Dangers.

How was it that Humanist Ideology became, in so short time a world-wide movement if it was not planned and funded? As we have seen it has been under intense planning for at least one hundred years. Most of this time being needed to prepare the groundwork, manipulate power blocks and keep critical information from the public. World change cannot be invisible and evidence is massive.

Who does not know that 'our leaders' lie to us so why can't we see they serve alien interests? These small books explain it and how we can escape!

Terrorism is horrific but, for the abused, no more than a response of last resort. It is trivial compared to the secret, silent, mind-warp program designed to deform human behaviour and bring crime, terror, war and death to civilization? Our worry should not be about mice creating nuclear bombs to challenge the super powers, rather we need expose philosophy set to destroy our human nature.

Can any weapon of terror be worse than the plot to deform intellect and delete our birthright of human development?

Humanism is not bad because it promotes false caring but because it blinds us to what we need care about; the more we care about the irrelevant the less we understand things that matter.

They are clever and careful with words: *"how do you feel about that"* (you must feel, not think). They continually promote feelings. *'Political correctness'* demands feel-good motivations! Don't think, *'get your head around it'* = accept it. We are soothed by double talk! *"Convincing*

progress" means they want to 'convince' us they are making progress against evil and *"make a difference", on our behalf??*

Mindless feel-good intentions carry a cost beyond human ability to pay! As crime advances we need more police; as ill-health grows we need more medical staff. As people get dumbed down all services become less efficient! As our situations get worse our abilities to cope diminish. Be it "peak oil" or peak "common sense" the result must obey the same logic!

Politically correct philosophy ruins more lives than vengeful terrorists ever dream of. Humanism is not a biological virus or poison gas; it is a sweet-tasting feel-good, scam of ideology that is rotting the very fabric of an innocently trusting human culture – it is a little scroll of writing that tastes sweet to emotions but sickens when swallowed. (Revelation 10: 8-10.) It is robbery of the pathetic poor by the obscene rich. It is usury-based insurance; disorientated medical care; corrupt law!

No law can reverse morality or change truth. How many teenagers now believe life values have no right or wrong? That it is our responsibility is to get what we can from a meaningless life or become 'losers'? Parents cry for children who treat them with contempt – and never know why?

Matthew 16: 19-20 *"... what you forbid on earth shall be forbidden in heaven, and what you allow on earth will be allowed in heaven.* A frightening thought! But wait! If creation's plan is that we develop in the rationality of our creator we will, on maturity be true to nature and trust-worthy? We are able to understand and fix our problems if we care enough to make the effort.

Step 18: Who Will Speak

*In this atomic tyranny
Of blood and brains, who frames his
speech, --
To leave both friend and foe so free
That manhood is evoked in each?*

*Who, in this bewildered hour
Of barbed intellect and strife,
Can act out of the heart's full power,
Can forge and wield the fine edged knife
Of steeled and tempered consciousness,
To utter words so true and sharp
That they can cut the emptiness, --
Can pierce like tones plucked from a harp.
This stagnant moil of reeking air,
This farce of unreality,
That dupes the people to despair
And soddens their humanity?*

*Who can aim the heart's blade true
And cleave the tawdry veil asunder,
With all his human statue, who
Can speak with lightening and with thunder,
--
Can walk erect, wide eyed with death,
And walking, foil the wraiths of terror
Can summon thoughts with living breath
To grapple with the hordes of error?*

*And when the faithful are accused,
The wise deserted and denied,
When the humble are abused,
The free beset from every side.
Who will speak in such an hour,
Who, in face of shame and death,
Will act out of the heart's full power,
Speak the truth with living breath.*

Arvia Mackaye Ege

Who will speak? A very appropriate question at this time! A fine composition

but will we find those who have enough love of truth to listen?

The 'hard' 'sciences demand we bow to principles of mathematical truth so what's wrong with us? Has brainwashing wiped out our ability for analytical thinking, or have we lost our common sense?

So what is the simple key to life's truth? As a child my grandfather told me this story: "When I was a boy I used to think it smart to tell lies until one day I overheard a conversation! One to another, "X is a good man, you can always rely on what he tells you as being true!" "I thought: I would like people to say that about me and determined to be truthful from then on." Seeming trivial but small insight can change a life.

A few years later I read about the English King Harold. He had a friend who was a witch. When William the Conqueror invaded England she decided to ensure Harold's victory by creating a banner embroidered with designs for victory and gathered the village maidens to work day and night. It was finished just in time as Harold set off for battle.

After a good night's sleep the witch sat musing by the pond with the ducks and frogs; suddenly an awful truth dawned on her. She was really in love with Harold and had committed the greatest sin of witchcraft! She had not allowed for the bias this would create. So her designs for good would turn bad and her design for victory would bring defeat. Too late to warn Harold and history shows his luck was bad.

Well, whatever we may think of witches the truth remains; if you want your plans to work you must respect truth. Let desires

blind you to truth and the logic of your planning is corrupted. Elementary!

It is not that you love to much, it is that you don't love truth enough to sacrifice desire to truth. Truth is essential to planning and bias is easily seen in family situations. So many children would be better served if parents saw with honest eyes.

Life is for creating! The whole of the Creation is constructed in the truth of order and each construction reflects attributes of its creator.

Love is our inspiration, and truth of order the law for all construction; corruption of truth by love, pride or prejudice will return corrupt design.

The Challenge of Complexity and the futility of chance:

Those who work in the physical sciences should recognize their responsibilities in relation to social order for social order is the foundation for intellectual achievement, a collapse of social order endangers all humanity as is now apparent.

All involved with mathematics should have supported those who pointed out the faults in chance creation; all intellectuals should be exposing the deceit of a philosophy devoid of truth. Egotists cannot change reality; Intelligence should never allow them the right to try?

My explanation of intelligent design has no connection with others on this path but an article in the Natural History magazine: voice of *The American Museum of Natural History*, (April 2002) featured a special report, "*Intelligent Design*" that provided three examples arguing for and three against. I need comment only on the first.

Michael J. Behe. Quote: *"Every living cell contains many ultra sophisticated molecular machines. How can we decide whether Darwinian natural selection can account for the amazing complexity that exists at the molecular level?"*

Darwin himself set the standard when he acknowledged, "If it could be demonstrated that any complex organ existed which could not possibly have been formed by numerous, successive, slight modifications, my theory would absolutely break down."

Here we find Darwin is not an analytical thinker or he would not have used a meaningless statement to back up his life's work. Obviously there is no creation that could not be "*formed by numerous, successive, slight modifications*"; the meaningful question is: "could these be assembled by chance?"

Michael Behe uses a mousetrap as example of intelligent design because, until all its parts are assembled, it is useless as a mousetrap. His counterpart argues that each part has some use in its own right. Behe has a valid point but by failing to analyse it to logical conclusion (or exclusion) is countered by equally weak argument.

The alphabet of this writing is our example: Let's put it this way: books are mainly composed of letters of the alphabet every one of which can be used to help express countless ideas. The adaptability of neither alphabet nor genes includes the foresight of creation. Designed for a purpose both need foresight of use before they can be designed and again before becoming part of another creation.

Evolutionists claim every book could be written by chance. In endless mixing, a 'T'

could find itself in front of 'H' and later an 'E' could form THE. In this way words are formed and by similar chance the word 'AFTER' might find itself in front of 'THE' and in this way intelligent sentences could appear; each word is valid in its own right. This is called 'plausible'! Can it also be called possible?

What is convenient to ignore is that, in the never-ending random shuffle, the T&H are more likely to be separated before the E is added. Each word alone has no survival advantage as its complete meaning depends on the context of its use.

As every student interested in the subject soon finds, every living creature has genes that may, or may not, be useful to it in any existing life situation. To maintain life-form efficiency, CHANCE is used to randomly create genetic DAMAGE and DELETION by natural selection.

If a damaged gene is of use to the life-form in its present environment, those with this gene damaged will be disadvantaged; therefore less competitive and eliminated; those with good genes survive so the viability of the life-form is not effected.

On the other hand if the gene damaged by chance is of no value to the life-form in its environment, then load of useless genes is reduced and in time that gene is eliminated from ALL members. Once eliminated it is not by chance restored, even if it comes to be needed at a later stage, as example: the human Vitamin C loss.

The above process, called specialization is well established. So whether talking of books, life-forms or mouse traps, the principles of life apply. Life is based on principles more basic to life than the human

genome or alphabet or bits of wood or steel. Creation requires order of truth and that requires foresight!

You see why we need deal with only one question. Evolution theory has been disproved over and over in so many ways that it seems a waste of time to express any variation of argument; there are already other examples in my work. So long as people believe criminal authorities without question, then evidence and logic remain irrelevant to them.

Chance is a destroyer of order not a creator of order! Neither chance nor natural selection can operate until there is a creation to provide options for chance and selection to operate on. These destroyers and preservers are very important but they have no intelligence, they cannot foresee future need and have no ability to assemble unnatural order for future service in an order needed for life.

When our culture opens its mind it will see that there are no alternatives; life can only be created by intelligence.

Also the honest must admit that when Jesus said He represented life and truth He knew what he was saying. He told us that He represents truth and no one can know our Creator or the creation, except by understanding the truth of what has been created. Until we open our minds to understanding we will not understand.

Religions had the same problem with the challenge of Galileo, the reality did not fit with their preferred image of the universe. Pride forbade that they admit error even as their dogma was exposed to defeat. Later they chose to bend to false science rather than test it in faith of truth.

Here they backed away from Darwinism and thereby denied Jesus for the second time! This despite that He told us the base of life is truth (of order) and also that worldly wisdom would be refuted.

Criminals now run the world. Religions, bound in dogma, are too proud to admit error; law cringes before worldly power to deny justice while religion looks to philosophy for comfort.

As Robert Weston says: *"Let no man fear for the truth, that doubt may consume her; only he that would shut out his doubts denieth the truth"*. Those who cannot doubt themselves have no faith in truth.

We cannot believe in justice without accepting mercy: we will have to admit of spiritual life if we are to appreciate physical life. We cannot have one side without the other. In failing to seek scientific truth to defeat the deceitful philosophy now ruling life on earth, religion is denying the creative force for the third time. As so dramatically foretold!

Albert Einstein: *"the significant problems we face cannot be solved at the same level of thinking we were at when we created them."*

We are now creating problems because our intellectual capacities are deformed. We passed a vital crossroads in human development, we need to return to our natural abilities: take the road at the sign pointing to "logical intelligent reasoning".

Another famous inventor is reported to have said of some difficulty: *'This problem is too difficult for professionals, we will have to wait for some gifted amateur to solve it'*.

The fact that so many technical and social problems have been solved by the self-educated is not because amateurs are more intelligent but because the self-educated, as individuals, are consumed by their passion for understanding the truth of their subject. They are not willing to just 'parrot' words without understanding as required by those taught learning as just memorising.

Looking at latest research into DNA – the double helix – the built in controls that make life possible – it must become obvious to any who have learned to use their analytical powers that life could not begin until this remarkable system had been designed; the order of its truth had to be designed before its creation.

The complex program for development of intelligent life could not begin until the principles for its organization were understood by intellect. Order has to be understood before design. Can any scientist explain how the primitive who set down the words of the Bible could know that the WORD (i.e. plan) had to come before the creation unless intelligence was involved?

You may not yet believe what is revealed in this book though your instinct tells you it is true. That is why we need four more books to supply the mortar to hold our building blocks firmly in place. This structure must now be consolidated and having come this far I think you will be taking up the challenge.

Later books enlarge on, fill in and confirm what is here outlined. We cannot have realistic understanding of life without looking into the spiritual side. As we cannot have the one without the other: books 3 and 5 fold in spiritual aspects.

This is Book 1 of 5. To complete these studies continue in set order and combine with other literature on site. Coming to terms with our situation is the hard part! Having finished this introduction you should find the next four books much easier.

Matthew 20: 12-16, “ . *thus will the last be first and the first last.* ”

You are given three layout alternatives with each book:

A/ Read version. This is the least refined but easiest to read on line or on screen. This layout requires 68 pages to printout.

B/ A4 download or printout (40 pages) delivers useful paper-saving as against printing out the Read version.

C/ Booklet direct-print from the Internet involves internet software and delivers a paper-saving booklet format printed on both sides of the paper: (may not work for all printers) centre staple flat then fold. Or fold and hand-stitch. (15 x A4 sheets of paper) and I recommend it as the hard-copy printout for easier understanding, paper saving and general convenience.

My apologies in that I am not able to spend the time needed to iron out all difficulties of communication and understanding. A.G.

For latest on these matters see
www.lifetruth.org.au

www.gniebxbeing.org.uk
For background of gnieb><being site see:
www.themindweb.com